

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 981 OF 2006

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

JAVED AHMED MUNSHI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for issuance of non-bailable war. of arrest)

Date: 01/12/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.  
Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) None appeared

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed.

( Rajesh Dham )  
Court Master

( Indu Satiya )  
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 981 OF 2006

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

JAVED AHMED MUNSHI

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appellant. None  
appeared on behalf of the respondent.

This Appeal, by special leave, has been filed against  
the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Delhi  
dated 21.09.2005 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 680 of 2001.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and order and hence we are not repeating the same here.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant and having perused the records, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and order of the High Court and hence it does not call for our interference.

In the result, there is no merit in the Appeal which is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.  
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

NEW DELHI;  
DECEMBER 01, 2010.

.....J.  
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)