

V
T.P.(C) No. 307 OF 2001
ITEM No.13

Court No.10

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition.(Civil) No.307/2001

ANJALI CHOTRANI

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SANJAY HARISH CHOTRANI

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
(With Appln(s). for stay)

Date : 29/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL

For Petitioner (s)

Ms. Kiran Suri,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav,Adv.
Mr. Pallav Shishodia, Adv.
Mr. Hemant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P.R. Mehta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The Transfer Petition is dismissed.

.SP1

Madhu

V.P. Tyagi
(Court Master)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

.PA
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Transfer Petition(Civil)No.307/2001@@
EE

Anjali Chotrani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Harish Chotrani

.....Respondent

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. The petitioner has prayed for transfer of the matrimonial petition pending in the Family Court at Mumbai to the Family Court at Udaipur. In paragraph 2 of the counter affidavit it is averred that the petitioner is pursuing FDIT course at NIFT college, Gandhi Nagar, Ahmedabad. This fact is fairly accepted by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, no particular purpose will be served by transferring the case to Udaipur.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to grant the petitioner's prayer for transfer of the case. Therefore, the prayer for transfer is rejected. Transfer Petition is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J
(D.P. MOHAPATRA)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 29, 2001

.....J
(SHIVARAJ V. PATIL)