

È

C.A.No. 4938 OF 1999
ITEM NO.107

COURT NO.10

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4938 OF 1999

ELPRO INTERNATIONAL LTD., PUNE

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE&CUSTOMS, PUNE
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH I.A. No.2/1999 In Civil Appeal NO. 1036 of 1992
(For clarification/modification)

Date: 04/11/2004 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Appellant(s) Mr. K.J. John,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mohan Parasaran, A.S.G.
Ms. Binu Tamta,Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4938 OF 1999

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the
signed order.

I.A. NO.2/1999 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.1036/1992

In view of the orders passed in C.A. No.4938/1999,
no orders are necessary on I.A. No.2.

(Neena Verma) (Vijay Aggarwal)
Court Master Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4938 OF 1999

Elpro International Limited, Pune
...Appellant

Versus

Collector of Central Excise and Customs,Pune
With
...Respondent

I.A. No.2/1999 in C.A.No.1036 of 1992

O R D E R

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4938 OF 1999:

Heard.

It is stated that pursuant to the order of remand, as directed by the Tribunal, the matter has been adjudicated afresh and therefore, this appeal has become infructuous. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

I.A. NO.2/1999 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.1036 OF 1992:

In view of the orders passed in Civil Appeal No.4938 of 1999, no orders are necessary on I.A. No.2.

.....J.

(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

.....J.

(C.K. THAKKER)

New Delhi,
November 04, 2004.