

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 140 OF 2004

RAVIKANT GARG

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEEPAK DUBEY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,taking additional document on record,bringing on record the additional facts)

Date: 08/09/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Uday Umesh Lalit, Sr.Adv.

Mr.Sanjay Sen, Adv.

Mr. Rana S.Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Manish P.s.Chouhan, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Anand, Adv.

Mrs Sarla Chandra,Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The transfer petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Meenu Sethi)  
hardwaj)

(Meenu Sethi)

Court Master

(Pushap Lata B

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(Crl) No. 140/2004

Ravikant Garg ... Petitioner

VERSUS

Deepak Dubey & Anr. ... Respondent

s

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that most of the witnesses are from Nagpur, we are of the opinion that it would not be proper to transfer Cr.No.139/2002 titled as "State of Maharashtra Vs. Dr. Deepak Dubey" from the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge in Nagpur, Maharashtra to Gaziabad,Uttar Pradesh, as has been prayed for. However, in view of the facts and circumstances of this case, we would request the learned trial Judge to accommodate the petitioner and his witnesses as far as possible.

..2/-

Mr. Uday Umesh Lalit, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1 states that his client shall cooperate for smooth conduct of the proceedings before the learned trial Judge.

The transfer petition is dismissed with the aforementioned observations.

.....J.

( S.B.SINHA )

.....J.

(DALVEER BHANDARI)

New Delhi,

September 8, 2006.