



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2026
(@SLP(CRL.) NO.4818 OF 2026)

RAM SNEHI APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF Uttar Pradesh RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Heard.

2. Leave granted.

3. The appellant is an accused in FIR No.41/2025 registered on 09.03.2025 which is an offshoot of an altercation which took place on 02.02.2025 namely a free fight erupted when the informant, Sonal and several others are alleged to have trespassed into the appellant's home and alleged to have assaulted his family members with weapons. The appellant and his mother is said to have sustained injuries including his daughter-in-law. It is alleged by the appellant (accused) that

though they lodged a complaint, the same was not registered and it is only the present FIR was registered against the appellant and his family members and only following an application filed by appellant under Section 173(4) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), a cross FIR 257/2025 was finally registered on 22.10.2025 against Sonal and five others for assault, molestation and attempted harm.

4. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the parties, we notice that as per Annexure P-1, which is the MLC of the informant of FIR 41/2025 namely relating to Sonal, she was clinically examined at primary health centre at District Deoria on 11.02.2025 after nearly about 9 days after the alleged incident and the medical officer found that injury no.2 is simple in nature caused by blunt object and duration was stated to be greater than 7 days. The mother of the informant Arti Devi was also examined medically and it was opined by the doctor, on the basis of x-ray and CT Report, that it did not reflect any kind of fracture and also did not reveal any internal injury having been sustained.

5. Subsequently the investigation has been completed and chargesheet has been filed and charges have also been framed. However, the appellant, who has been apprehended, has been in custody since 23.05.2025 till date. Hence, in the facts narrated above, we are of the considered view that his continued incarceration would not be warranted.

6. Hence, appeal is allowed. Impugned order is set aside. Appellant is ordered to be released on bail on such terms and conditions as the jurisdictional court may deem fit to impose and also on the condition that appellant would appear before the jurisdictional court on all dates of hearing without fail except when exempted for any specific reason.

7. It is needless to state that in the event of any of the conditions being violated which may be imposed by the trial court, on the appellant accused, the respondent-State would be at liberty to move the jurisdictional court for cancellation of bail.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

.....J.
(PRASANNA B. VARALE)

New Delhi;
May 19, 2026.

ITEM NO.10

COURT NO.14

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 4818/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-08-2025 in CRMBA No. 26805/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

RAM SNEHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 66629/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 66637/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 19-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shailender Singh, Adv.
Mr. Surinder Kumar Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Tulika Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Dhawal Uniyal, Adv.
Mr. Beenu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Venkat Narayan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Appeal is allowed in terms of the Signed Order placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHI GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)