

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.7529-7530/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 08/03/2001 in CR 672/2001 and Civil Revision No.1081 of 2001 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

M/S. JITINDER FILLING STATION

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

MIRI RAM GIAN CHAND & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

(With Appln(s). for clarification and exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 06/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.N. Keshwani, Adv.
Mr. Ramlal Roy, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, SG
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Mrs. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Dholakia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J.
.SP2

Upon hearing submissions made on behalf of the parties and on perusal of the records it appears that the High Court disposed of the two Civil Revision Nos.672 of 2001 and 1081 of 2001 with a direction for expeditious disposal of the matter. In our view no exception can possibly be ...2/-

-2-

taken to such an order of expeditious disposal. The High Court also noted the factum of resiting of the pump on 5.3.2001 and having regard to the balance of convenience and the concept of

irreparable injury, the High Court directed the status quo to continue. We do not see any reason at this juncture specially in the wake of expeditious disposal to intervene under Article 136 of the Constitution. As such, these special leave petitions fail and are dismissed.

.SP1

On leave

(K.K. Chawla)
Court Master

(D.D. Jindal)
Assistant Registrar