

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).7390/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 30/11/2005 in SA No. 851/1994 of the HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

RAMALINGAM Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JAYARAMAN Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 17/09/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Prabhakar,Adv.
Mr. Ramjee Prasad,Adv.
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Dayan Krishnan,Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.
Mr. Gautam Narayan,Adv.
Mr. Samrat Singh,Adv.
Mr. Ankit Singal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Leave granted.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties. The impugned judgment is set aside, the matter is remitted back to the High Court for consideration thereof afresh and the appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(A.S. BISHT)

COURT MASTER

[Signed order is placed on the file]

(PUSHAP LATA BHARDWAJ)

COURT MASTER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4379 OF 2007

[Arising out of SLP(C) No. 7390/2006]

RAMALINGAM ... APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

JAYARAMAN ... RESPONDENT(S)

)

ORDER

Leave granted.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties we are of the opinion that although the High Court formulated as many as four substantial substantial questions of law, but it does not appear from the impugned judgment that any discussion thereupon has been made. We, therefore, set aside the impugned judgment and remit the matter back to the High Court for consideration thereof afresh.

The appeal is disposed of with the aforementioned observations and direction.

.....J
(S.B. SINHA)

.....J
(H.S. BEDI)

NEW DELHI,
SEPTEMBER 17, 2007.