

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. NO. 9 & 10 IH ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 4 OF 2004

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Plaintiff(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(Application under Order XXII of the SCR 1966 read with order XI Rule 12 of the CPC and office report )

Date: 28/10/2013 This IA was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Plaintiff(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr. N.V. Charan, Adv.  
Mr. P.R. Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. A. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.  
Mr. S.C. Sharma, Adv.

UOI Mr. A.S. Chandhiok, ASG  
Mr. Vikas Malhotra, Adv.  
Ms. Monika, Adv. for  
Mr. B.K. Prashad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. 9 of 2012:

Mr. A.S. Chandhiok, learned Additional Solicitor General for the Union of India prays for time to enable the advocate-on-record to respond to I.A. No. 9 of 2012 made by the plaintiff. The application seeks production of documents mentioned in paragraph 3 of the application.

Almost 10 months have passed since the application was made by the plaintiff but the Union of India has not yet chosen to respond to this application although their response to the application is necessary. The original suit filed before this Court under Article 131 of the Constitution of India is already pending for more than eight years and evidence needs to be completed as early as may be possible.

Be that as it may, we now direct the respondent No. 1 to respond to the application within four weeks.

List I.A. No. 9 of 2012 on 10.12.2013.

I.A. No. 10 of 2012:

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, learned counsel for the plaintiff

does not press this application.

I.A. No. 10 of 2012 is dismissed as not pressed.

|(Pardeep Kumar)  
|Court Master

|(Saroj Saini)  
|Court Master

|