

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Review Petition(Civil) No.860/2001 in C.A.No.2192/2001.

M/S. FAIRLON ENGINEERING PVT. LTD. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

COMMNR. OF CUSTOMS, MUMBAI Respondent (s)

WITH

RP(C) 858/2001 in CA 2193/2001.

Date : 09/08/2001 These petitions were circulated for reviewing the order today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J..T....R
.SP2

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]
Court Master

[Radha Rani Bhatia]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]

.PA
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.860/2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

IN

Civil Appeal No.2192/2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

M/s Fairlon Engineering Pvt.Ltd.

...Petitioners

Vs.

Commissioner of Customs, Mumbai

...Respondent

WITH

REVIEW PETITION(C) NO.858/2001 IN C.A.NO.2193/2001@@
CC

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J....R
.SP2

These review petitions are against common order of this Court dated March 16, 2001 declining to condone the delay of 402 and 411 days respectively in filing the appeals. Having gone through the order under review and the review petitions, we do not find any merit in the review petitions. They are accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.
[SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI]

New Delhi,
August 9, 2001.@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

.....J.
[S.N. PHUKAN]