

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.2

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
IA No.6 AND 2-5 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 926 OF 1988

CONSUMER ACTION GROUP & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMILNADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Review Petition 89/2001 converted into I.A.No.6 passed in I.A.No.2 and modification of court's order dt.18.8.2001 and office report)

Date: 22/11/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Dayan Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
Mr.Gautam Narayan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.A.V.Rangam, Adv.

Mr.A.Mariarputham, Adv.

Mr.Krishnamurthi Swami, Adv.

Mr. S.R. Setia, Adv.

Mr. Ambrish Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal, Adv.

Ms. Janaki Ramachandran, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.

Mr. Sudarsh Menon, Adv.

Mr. V. Krishna Murthy, Adv.

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. M.A. Krishna Moorthy, Adv.

In-person

Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.

Ms. Smriti Mishra, Adv.

Mrs. Nandini Gore, Adv.

Mr. V. Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Krishna Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Asok K. Sadhu Khan, Adv.

Mr. M.K.D. Namboodiri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Place the matter for hearing.

As far as non-service is concerned, the question of the necessity to serve the respondents will be considered at the time of hearing.

3

(Satish K. Yadav)

(Madhu Saxena)

Court Master

Court Master

