

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 850/2013

RANJIT SINGH (D) THR.LRS. & ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MUNIC.CORP.OF FARIDABAD THR.COMMNR.& ORS Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 17/12/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Himanshu Gupta,adv.
Mr.Karan Kapoor,adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Sanjay Visen,adv.
Ms.Anubha Agrawal,adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellants and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by notice dated 07.06.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition

Signature Not Verified

for seeking special

leave
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj

to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to

Date: 2014.12.19

14:02:49 IST

Reason:

file any further statement of case. The order further prov
ides

that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB