

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.7

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012
CC 7985/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 25/11/2011 in AA No.13/2011
of The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

M/S SHRI RAM MULTICOM P.LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

B.N.HOTELS P.LTD.& ANR Respondent(s)

With I.A. 1 (c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP)

Date: 07/05/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pallav Shishodia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anup Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Devvrat, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned senior
counsel for the petitioner.

Delay condoned.

Impugned order does not call for any interference.

Special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

At this stage, Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned senior
2

counsel for the petitioner, submits that subsequent to the
impugned order, certain events have intervened based on
which the petitioner has filed another application under
Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for
short, '1996 Act').

Having regard to the above, we observe that the new
application filed by the petitioner under Section 9 of the

1996 Act shall be considered by the trial court on its own merits uninfluenced by the impugned order.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master