

Ê

SLP(C)No. 7317 OF 2000

ITEM No.5

Court No.10

SECTION XV  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.7317/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 07/04/2000 in SBCWP 4840/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

UNIVERSITY OF RAJASTHAN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

MRINAL JOSHI & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)  
With

SLP(C)No.6341/2001 (With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office  
report), With SLP(C)No.6345/2001(with appln. for c/delay in filing  
SLP and office report) and SLP(C)No.7321/2000 (With prayer for interim  
relief)

Date : 01/05/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Petitioner (s) Ms. Shobha,Adv.for  
in SLPs. 7317 and M/s K.L. Mehta & Co.,Adv.  
7321/2000

For Respondent (s)  
in SLPs. 7317/2000 Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,Adv.  
and 7321/2000 and  
petr.in SLPs.6341  
and 6345/2001

in SLP.7321/2000 Mr. Pallav Shishodia,Adv.  
Mr. Hemant Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav,Adv.

Mr. DP Mukherjee,Adv.  
Ms. Nandini Mukherjee,Adv.

for MCI Maninder Singh,Adv.  
Ms. Pratibha M. Singh,Adv.  
Ms. Kavita Wadia,Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Talwar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

SLP(C) No.7317/2000, 7321/2000, 6341/2001 and 6345/2001@@  
CC

Delay condoned in SLP(C) Nos. 6341/2001 and  
6345/2001.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. These special leave petitions are directed against the interim order passed by the High Court on 7.4.2000 during the pendency of the writ petitions in which the High Court has directed the petitioner to permit the post-graduate medical students-respondents herein to appear in the examination and to declare their results subject to certain conditions including the condition of final disposal of the order to be passed by the High Court in the writ petitions. It is stated by the Bar that in some cases though the writ petitions were heard they have not yet been decided and the matters are to be listed for further hearing. Keeping in view the nature of the orders passed and the pendency of the writ petitions before the High Court, we are not inclined to examine the contentions raised on behalf of the parties on merits. It is our view that the writ petitions should be disposed of expeditiously and till then no further order should be passed or action be taken in pursuance of the interim order passed by the High Court which is under challenge in these special leave petitions. Therefore, we dispose of these special leave petitions with a request to the High Court to dispose of the writ petitions expeditiously, if possible, within three months from today and till then no further steps in pursuance of the interim order under challenge in these proceedings will be taken by the parties.

.SP1

(Meena Sarin)  
AR Cum PS

(S. Malkani)  
Court Master