

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 1 in CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 7949-7950 OF 2001

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAMCHANDER & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(For clarification of court's order dt.6.10.2004 and office report )

Date: 05/12/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. T.M. Yousef, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Madhu Moolchandani, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

We clarify that by our order dated 6-10-2-004, we have only interferred with

the payment of full back wages. We held that the respondents were entitled to get 50% of

the back wages only. We are not disturbing the finding of th

e High Court in last

r of the paragraph of the judgment dated 12th January, 2001. Whatever granted in favou

respondents by the High Court is not disturbed.

I.A.no.1 is disposed of accordingly.

gh) (R.K. Dhawan)

(Anand Sin

Court Master

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.NO.1

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.7949-7950 OF 2001

.. Appellants. Union of India & Ors.

..

Versus

dents. Ramchander & Anr.

.... Respon

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

with We clarify that by our order dated 6-10-2-004, we have only interfered

the payment of full back wages. We held that the respondents were entitled to get 50% o

f

the back wages only. We are not disturbing the finding of the High Court in last

paragraph of the judgment dated 12th January, 2001. Whatever granted in favour of the

respondents by the High Court is not disturbed.

I.A.no.1 is disposed of accordingly.

.....J

(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J

(P.P. NAOLEKAR)

NEW DELHI;

DECEMBER 5, 2005.