

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 5-7 In Civil Appeal No.4267/2000

CHATTAR SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

USHA RANI

Respondent (s)

(Appln(s). for directions & clarification/modification of court's
order & exemption from filing O.T.)
(With Office Report)

Date : 10/05/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s) Mr.Yogeshwar Prasad,Sr.Adv.
Mrs Rachna Gupta,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. P.K. Jain,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....J
.SP2

Exemption is granted.
Our order dated 27th July, 2000 specifically, inter
alia, provides as under:-

.SP1

"(8) In case of any changed
circumstances, having impact on the
question of custody of minor 'Swati' or if
at any time any procedural difficulty is
felt by either party during implementation
of the directions contained in this order,
liberty reserved to either party to file
appropriate application before the District
Court, Muzaffarnagar. If and when such an
application is moved, the learned District
Judge will decide the same and pass
appropriate orders, after hearing the
parties."

.SP2

given by this Court it would be open to the applicant to approach the District Court for the reliefs claimed here in I.A.No.5. It is observed that learned District Judge shall dispose of the application expeditiously. The learned counsel for the respondent-Usha Rani states that she would hand over the custody of the minor child to the grand-parents in presence of the District Judge before whom the application filed by her is pending. The learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant is ready and willing to take the custody of the minor as soon as it is handed over to him. I.A.No.5 is disposed of accordingly.

In this view of the matter IA No.6 does not survive and is rejected.

.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]
Court Master

[KK Chadha]
Court Master