

ITEM NO.111

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIC

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 688/2007

JOTHIMUTH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TIRUCHULI

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 14/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. R. Shanmuga Sundaram, Sr. Adv.

Mr. M. A. Chinnaamy, Adv.

Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv.

Mr. V. Senthil Kumar, Adv.

Ms. C. Rubavathi, Adv.

Mr. P. Rajaram, Adv.

Mr. S. Peer Mohammad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.

Ms. Nithya, Adv.

Mrs. Mahalakshmi, Adv.

Mr. Partha Sarathi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Madhu Bala)

(Veena Khera)

Court Master

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 688 OF 2007

JOTHIMUTHU

â- | .APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TIRUCHULI

...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

â- |J.

[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

NEW DELHI â- |J.

14TH FEBRUARY, 2017 [UDAY UMESH LALIT]