

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3487-3492 OF 2004

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SURINDER SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 5474-5475 of 2004

(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7509-7510 of 2004

(With office report)

Date: 03/11/2011 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R.K.Pandey,Adv.

Mr. H.S.Sandhu,Adv.

Mr. Mohit Mudgil,Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.G.Bhagat,Adv.

Mr. Vineet Bhagat,Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Maity,Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra,Adv.

Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed but with no order as to
costs.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[S.S.R. KRISHNA]
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3487-3492 OF 2004

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.. APPELLANT(S)

vs.

SURINDER SINGH & ORS.

.. RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5474-5475 AND 7509-7510 OF 2004

O R D E R

Admittedly, despite grant of several opportunities to the appellant-State, it has not complied with the original order passed by this Court on 20/1/2011. Even though, appellants were granted time on several occasions for compliance subject to payment of cost, initially Rs.5000/- then raised to Rs.10,000/- but still they have taken no steps. The office report shows that orders have not yet been complied with by the appellants. Learned counsel appearing for the appellants has mentioned that they had informed about the said order to the appellants but they have taken no action in this regard. Thus, they were unable to comply with the order passed by this Court. They prayed for further time.

-2-

In this view of the matter we do not deem it fit and proper to grant further time to the appellants to move appropriate application seeking amendment of the appeal. More so, when they have not deposited the cost of Rs.10,000/- imposed on them, for non-compliance. The appeals are hereby dismissed but with no order as to costs.

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

.....J.
(K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN)

New Delhi,
November 3, 2011.