

R

SLP(Crl.)No. 1514 OF 2001

.PL70

ITEM No.26

Court No. 9

SECTION II
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1514/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 22/12/2000 in SBCMP 592/96
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR)

KUNDAN MAL & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay)

With

SLP(Crl.)No. 1898/2001,

(with appln. for stay)

SLP(Crl.)No. 2361/2001

(with appln. for c/delay in filing SLP & stay)

Date : 20/08/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. V.J. Francis,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Ashwini Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ranjit Thomas, Adv. for
Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

...L.....I....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

Delay condoned in SLP(Crl) 2361/2001.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The impugned order passed by the High Court does not call
for any interference. Hence, special leave petitions are dismissed.

However, it would be open to the petitioners to raise all
the contentions during the trial. It would also be open to the
petitioners to apply to the trial court for granting exemption
from appearance. The trial court would consider the same and as
far as possible grant such exemption on such terms and conditions
which would not in any way delay the trial. In any case, with a
condition that on behalf of the accused, Advocate would remain
present on the date of posting of the matter and the Advocate
would not seek adjournment on the ground that he is not having
proper instructions.

.SP1

(D.L. Chugh)
Court Master

(K.K. Chadha)
Court Master