

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2153 OF 2000

M. ANANDI & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DEVI BAI (DEAD) BY LRS.&ORS

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 2154 of 2000

(With office report)

Date: 17/02/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K.R. Nagaraja,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Radhakrishna S.Hegde, Adv.

Mr.Chandra Prakash Tyagi, Adv.

Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

Ms. N.Annapoorani ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

During the course of hearing of these appeals, learned counsel for the respondents relied on the new legislation particularly the provisions under Section 2(3)(G) of the Karnataka Rent Act, 1999. The contention advanced by the counsel for the respondents is that the protection under Karnataka Rent Control Act, 1961, which now stands repealed by the new Act of 1999 as amended by Act No.34 of 2001, is not available for the premises in suit.

Learned counsel for the appellants seeks time to examine this legal question.

At his request, put up after three weeks.

(Satish K. Yadav)

(Shelly Sengupta)

Court Master

Court Master

ITEM NO.107

COURT NO.8

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2153 OF 2000

M. ANANDI & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

(With office report)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 2154 of 2000

(With office report)

Date: 17/02/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K.R. Nagaraja,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Radhakrishna S.Hegde, Adv.

Mr.Chandra Prakash Tyagi, Adv.

Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

Ms. N.Annapoorani ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

During the course of hearing of these appeals, learned counsel for the

respondents relied on the new legislation particularly the provisions under Section 2(3)(G)

of the Karnataka Rent Act, 1999. The contention advanced by the counsel for the

respondents is that the protection under Karnataka Rent Control Act, 1961,

which now

stands repealed by the new Act of 1999 as amended by Act No.34 of 2001, is not

available for the premises in suit.

Learned counsel for the appellants seeks time to examine this legal question.

At his request, put up after three weeks.

(Satish K. Yadav)

Court Master

(Shelly Sengupta)

Court Master