

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1697 OF 2005

KUPPANNAGARI ADINARAYANA REDDY

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.
Respondent(s)

Respondent

(With O/R and direction)

Date: 07/11/2006 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. M.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Promila, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh, Adv..

For Respondent(s)

Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed.

(Madhu Arora)

(Khushi Ram)

AR-cum-PS

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1697 OF 2005

Kuppannagari Adinarayana Reddy

....Appellant

versus

State of A.P.

....Respondent

O R D E R

The sole appellant was convicted by the trial court under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs. 5,000/- in default to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year. On appeal being preferred the High Court confirmed the conviction. Hence this appeal by special leave.

The prosecution case has been proved by the seven eye-witnesses, namely, K. Venkata Reddy - P.W.1, Kuppanagari Prabhavatamma - P.W.2, Kuppanagari Kulasekhar Reddy- P.W.3, Kuppannagari Narayana Reddy - P.W.4, Kunchapu Ramanappa - P.W.5, Dandagula Hanumanna -- P.W.6, Edagottu Chowadappa - P.W.7 and their evidence is supported by the medical evidence.

--:2:-

In our view, the High Court was quite justified in confirming the conviction of the appellant and no interference by this court is called for.

Therefore, the appeal fails and is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.

.....

[B.N. Agrawal]

.....J.

.....

[P.P.Naolekar]

New Delhi,

November 7, 2006