

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2863 OF 2007

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

VIRENDER KUMAR & ORS.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 02/04/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, AAG
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv. (Not present)

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Nisha Bagchi, Adv.
Ms. Namrata Sood, Adv.
Ms. Suman Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv. (Not present)

Mr. S.C. Paul, Adv.
Ms. Roopa Paul, Adv.
Ms. Anika Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv. (Not present)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed with no costs in terms of the signed order.

| Court Master

| (Sarita Purohit)

| Assistant Registrar

| (Sneh Bala Mehra)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2863 OF 2007

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.

... APPELLANT(s)

VS.

VIRENDER KUMAR & ORS.

... RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and have carefully gone through the impugned judgment dated 23rd August, 2002, passed by the Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh in C.W.P.1887 of 2001.

In our opinion, the High Court has duly considered the judgment delivered in the case of Saroj Rani & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors. [(1996) 6 SCC 637] and, therefore, we see no reason to interfere with the judgment delivered by the High Court. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed with no costs.

..2/-

.2.

We are sure that the Appellant-State shall do the needful for implementation of the impugned judgment as soon as possible.

.....J.
[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.
[VIKRAMAJIT SEN]

New Delhi;
2nd April, 2014.