

X2

W.P(C)No. 225 OF 1999

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R
Item No. Court No. Section
110 01 X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
Record of Proceedings

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 225 OF 1999 @@
CC

C.N. Sasidharan & Ors. ..Petitioners

vs.

Union of India & Anr. ..Respondents

(with appln.(s) for impleading party and directions)

Date:23/01/2003 This/These matter(s) was/were called on for
hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For the Petitioner(s): Mr. C N Sree Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent(s):
State of Assam

Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
Ms. Asha G Nair, Adv. for
Corporate Law Group, Adv.

State of Arunachal
Pradesh

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Jyoti Dutt, Adv.

State of A.P.

Mr. T V Ratnam, Adv.

State of Bihar

Mr. B B Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

UT of Chandigarh

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

State of Chhatisgarh

Mr. Prakash Srivastava, Adv.

NCT Delhi

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.
Mr. R K Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Satpal Singh, Adv.
Mr. D S Mahra, Adv.

State of Goa
& A.G.

Mr. Bhavanishankar V Gadnis, Adv.
Ms. Smita Inna, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari, Adv.

State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Gupta, Adv.

State of Haryana Mr. Aditya Kumar Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. J P Dhanda, Adv.

State of H.P. Mr. Naresh K Sharma, Adv.

State of Kerala Mr. K R Sasiprabhu, Adv.
Mr. John Mathew, Ms. K Sangeeta and
Mr. Sushil Tekriwal, Advs.

State of Karnataka Mr. Sanjay R Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Mr. S S Shinde, Adv.
Mr. V N Raghupathy, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr K H Nobin Singh, Adv.

State of M.P. Mr. Satish K Agnihotri, Adv.
Mr. Anil K Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv.

State of Mizoram Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Gupta, Adv.

State of Punjab Mr. R K Rathore, Addl.Adv.Genl.
Mr. R S Suri, Adv.

State of Sikkim Mr. A Mariarputham, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur and Mr. Anurag D Mathur,
Advs. for M/s. Arputham, Aruna & Co.,Advs.

State of Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Mohapatra, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

Mr. B Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.

Mr. R C Verma, Adv.

Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, Adv.

Mr. V K Sidharthan, Adv.

Mr. S V Deshpande, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

For applicant (s)
in impleadment appln. Mr. P I Jose, Adv.

...3/-

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R

Heard counsel for the petitioners for five minutes.

In view of the fact that the State of Kerala has amended the Rules whereby the age of superannuation has been enhanced to 60 years, this writ petition does not survive. It is, accordingly, dismissed. However, it is open to the petitioners to raise such contentions as are available to them under the law.

I.As. for directions and impleadment are dismissed.

(D.P. Walia)
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

.PA
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R
.PL60

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 225 OF 1999 @@
CC

C.N. Sasidharan & Ors.

..Petitioners

vs.

Union of India & Anr.

..Respondents

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.SP2

In view of the fact that the State of Kerala has amended the Rules whereby the age of superannuation has been enhanced to 60 years, this writ petition does not survive. It is, accordingly, dismissed. However, it is open to the petitioners to raise such contentions as are available to them under the law.

.SP1

.....CJI.
(V.N. KHARE)

.....J.
(S.B. SINHA)

.....J.

(AR. LAKSHMANAN)

New Delhi;
January 23, 2003.