

B
R.P(C)No. 617 OF 2003
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.617 OF 2003

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1952 OF 2002

Halappa Gowda (d) by Pros.L.R ..Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Karnataka & Ors.Respondent(s)

O R D E R

There is a delay of 303 days in filing the review petition as also the substitution application. There is no satisfactory explanation for the delay. Even otherwise, we have perused the contents of the review petition wherein we find no merit. The review petition and the substitution application are both dismissed on the ground of delay not satisfactorily explained.

(R.C. LAHOTI)

.....J.

.....J.
(DORAISWAMY RAJU)
New Delhi,
July 30, 2003.

CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Civil) No.617/2003 in C.A.No.1952/2002

HALAPPA GOWDA (D) BY PROS.L.R.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.
(With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing review petition and
substitution and exemption from filing c/c of the order)
(With Office Report)

Respondent (s)

Date : 30/07/2003 This Petition was circulated in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petition and the substitution application are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(Radha R. Bhatia)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.