

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.614 OF 2005

KRUSENABAI & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

PARASHRAM BALIBHADRA SIKHWAL & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

The defendant has preferred this appeal with the leave of the Court.

He has lost before all the courts below. The High Court while dismissing the second appeal held that there is no substantial question of law which falls for its determination.

We are in agreement with the view taken by the High Court.

We do not find any merit in the appeal and it is dismissed accordingly.

.....J
[Chandramauli Kr. Prasad]

.....J
[V. Gopala Gowda]

New Delhi;
May 10, 2013.

ITEM NO.117

COURT NO.11

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO.614 OF 2005

KRUSENABAI & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PARASHRAM BALIBHADRA SIKHWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 10/05/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Neelam Kalsi, Adv.

Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

| (Sanjay Kumar) Court Master | (Indu Satija) |
| Court Master |

(Signed order is placed on the file)