

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10648/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 19/12/2011 in MFA No.8347/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

P.SRINIVAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

G.S.BHARATHI

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 15/01/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.
Mr. Krutin R. Joshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard counsel for the parties as well as the parties who are present in person.

Respondent-wife has expressed the opinion that she is willing to stay with her husband-petitioner, which is not agreeable to the petitioner-husband. Out of their wedlock they have a girl child aged about six years, who is staying with the respondent-wife.

We have gone through the judgment of the Family Court as well as of the High Court and found no error in the views expressed by both the Courts. Grounds urged by the petitioner-husband are not sound enough to annul a marriage especially when a girl child was born out of that wedlock. We also suggested to the petitioner to live together at least for six months, so that the institution of marriage could be saved at least for the sake of the female child, which was not acceptable to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not permitted to meet the child. If the petitioner so desires, he may move an appropriate application before the Family Court for visitation rights.

The special leave petition is, therefore, not entertained and the same is dismissed.

| (NARENDRA PRASAD)

| COURT MASTER

| | (RENUKA SADANA)

| | COURT MASTER

