

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1667/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 23/12/1999 in CRLR 385/97
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

STATE THROUGH C.B.I.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

P.V. NARASIMHA RAO & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay & exemption from filing O.T. & Office Report)

Date : 06/12/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Harish N Salve, Solicitor General of India
Mr. A Mariarputham, Adv.
Mr. US Prasad, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.
Malaukesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Uday Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Bhagwan, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. PS Misra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arun Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Tathagat H Vardhan, Adv.
Ms. Priya N Bhargava, Adv.
Mr. Upendra Misra, Adv.
Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the parties exhaustively.

We are taken through the entire material produced by the
investigating agency against the respondents who are discharged by the
trial court. We have also gone through the reasons recorded by the
trial court for not framing the charges against the respondents. The
High Court in revision has also re-appreciated the same. In our view,
there is no error in the same which would call for interference. It
.../2-

- 2 -

has been rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the
respondents that there is no material evidence to connect the
respondents with the alleged conspiracy. Hence this special leave
petition is dismissed. The dismissal of this petition would have no
bearing on the trial of the other accused against whom charges have
been framed.

.SP1

(D.L. Chugh)
Court Master

(S. Malkani)
Court Master