

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.4939/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 26/11/2002 in AS 503/90
of The HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

ROSHY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

K.K. CHANDRIKA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

With S.L.P. (C) No.5942 of 2003
(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 09/02/2004 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARIFor Petitioner (s)Mr. M.P. Vinod,Adv.
Mr. A.K. Jain,Adv.For Respondent (s)Mr. Roy Abraham,Adv.
Ms. Seema Jain,Adv.
Mr. Himinder Lal,Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

S.L.P. (C) No. 45939 of 2003:

The learned counsel for the parties submitted that the parties have entered into a compromise to settle the dispute in relation to the subject matter of this special leave petition and the terms of the compromise are placed in the memo of compromise agreement. This agreement is signed by the petitioner as well as by Respondent Nos.1-3 and 5 and

..2/-
- 2 -

the legal representatives of the deceased Respondent No.4 and their respective learned counsel. Although the legal representatives of the deceased Respondent No.4 have signed the memo of compromise, no application to bring them on record have been filed. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the application will be made during the course of the day. The learned counsel for the respondents, including the legal representatives of the deceased Respondent No.4, submitted that the matter may be disposed of in terms of the memo of compromise agreement, which is placed on record. Accepting the submission of the learned counsel for the parties, the special leave petition is disposed of, placing the memo of compromise agreement on record.

S.L.P. (C) No. 5942 of 2003:

The learned counsel for the parties submitted that in view of the settlement arrived at between

n the parties in S.L.P. (C) No. 4939 of 2003, the special leave petition may be dismissed. In this view, the special leave petition is dismissed.

[T.I. Rajput] [Shelly Sengupta]
Court Master Court Master