



ITEM NO.52 COURT NO.5 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 6322/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 22-01-2026 in CRA No. 674/1984 passed by
the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow
Bench]

SAGHEER AHMAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH Respondent(s)

Date : 18-05-2026 This matter was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Syed Ahmed Saud, Adv.
 Mr. Mohd. Parvez Dabas, Adv.
 Mr. Daanish Ahmed Syed, Adv.
 Mr. Uzmi Jameel Husain, Adv.
 Mr. Mohd Shahib, Adv.
 M/s Shakil Ahmad Syed, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shaurya Sahay, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant stands convicted by the Trial Court for the offences punishable under Sections 302 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. He was sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life. On appeal, the High Court has confirmed the same. Aggrieved, the appellant is before us.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the occurrence is of the year 1981 and that this is a case of circumstantial evidence. As issues of fact and law are involved, the sentence imposed on the appellant may be suspended.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that considering the findings rendered by the High Court, there is no need for interference.

Suffice it is to state that the appeal requires to be adjudicated on merits.

Considering the above, we are inclined to suspend the sentence of the appellant and grant him bail, during the pendency of the present appeal.

Accordingly, the sentence of the appellant is suspended and he is granted bail, during the pendency of the present appeal, on terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR