

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2755/2013
(From the judgement and order dated 18/02/2013 in CRLMA No.10634/2013
in CRLA No.470/2013 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH)

DEEPAK Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for bail)

Date: 08/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Aditya Vikram, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Jain, Adv.
Ms. Amita Singh Kalkal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Deep Karan Singh Dalal, AAG
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Leave granted.

3. This appeal is only against the refusal by the High Court to suspend the sentence and to grant bail to the appellant pending the appeal before the High Court. We are of the considered opinion that this is a fit case in which the High Court while admitting the appeal should have granted bail to the appellant and suspended the sentence during the pendency of the appeal.

4. We, therefore, allow this appeal and direct that the appellant shall remain on bail to the satisfaction of the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat in Sessions No. 17 of 2013 during the pendency of the Criminal Appeal No. 470 of 2013 before the High Court.

| (G. SUDHAKARA RAO)
| COURT MASTER

| | (SHARDA KAPOOR)
| | COURT MASTER

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 560 OF 2013
ARISING OUT OF
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (Cr1) NO. 2755 of 2013

DEEPAK

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Leave granted.

3. This appeal is only against the refusal by the High Court to suspend the sentence and to grant bail to the appellant pending the appeal before the High Court. We are of the considered opinion that this is a fit case in which the High Court while admitting the appeal should have granted bail to the appellant and suspended the sentence during the pendency of the appeal.

4. We, therefore, allow this appeal and direct that the appellant shall remain on bail to the satisfaction of the Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat in Sessions No. 17 of 2013 during the pendency of the Criminal Appeal No. 470 of 2013 before the High Court.

.....J.
(A.K. PATNAIK)

.....J.
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)

NEW DELHI,
APRIL 08, 2013