

Ö#

SLP(Crl.)No. 2150 OF 2001  
ITEM No.202

Court No.12

SECTION IIA  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 2150/2001  
(From the judgement and order dated 02/11/2000 in CRLR 411/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

SURINDER KUMAR SOOD

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SUDHIR OJHA & ORS.  
(with appln.(s) for stay and office report)  
( For Final Disposal )

Respondent (s)

U Date : 01/02/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr.Aditya Kumar Chaudhary,Adv.  
Mr. Ugra Shankar Pd.,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Deba Prasad Mukherjee,Adv.  
Ms.Nandini Mukherjee,Adv.  
Mr.M.K.Chatterjee  
Mrs.K.Iyer,Adv.

Mr. J.P. Dhanda,Adv.  
Ms.Raj Rani Dhanda,Adv.  
Mr.K.P.Singh,Adv.  
Mr.D.S.Nagar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Mr.Aditya Kumar Chaudhary, learned counsel for the  
petitioner argued for about five minutes. Mr. Deba Prasad  
Mukherjee,Adv.learned counsel for the respndent argued for  
about seven minutes. Mr. J.D. Dhanda, learned counsel  
aruged for five minutes.

Leave granted.  
Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Rajesh Kumar) (V.P.Tyagi)  
PA to Addl.Registrar Court Master  
(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 170 OF 2002@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC  
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 2150 of 2001)

Surinder Kumar Sood

... Appellant

Versus

Sudhir Ojha & Ors.

... Respondents

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

L.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....  
..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R.  
.SP2

Leave granted.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that his client be permitted to withdraw the revision petition filed in the Court of Sessions Judge by which the order summoning him was quashed and that order was upheld by the High Court in the order impugned in this appeal. The respondents agree to face the trial for the offences regarding which the summons were issued to them

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the respondents we allow revision petition filed by them in the Sessions Court to be withdrawn. Consequent orders passed on the said revision petition by the High Court shall be deemed to have been erased. The Trial Court shall proceed

-2-

-2-

with the case and decide it in accordance with law not being influenced by any observation made in the impugned orders.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J.@@  
BB  
(R.P.Sethi)@@  
BB

.....J@@  
BB  
(K.G. Balakrishnan )@@  
BB

New Delhi  
February 01, 2002