

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.4

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).11704/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/08/2011 in CWP No. 14697/2011 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SIYA RAM

Respondent(s)

(With office report)
WITH SLP(C) No. 8086/2014
(With Interim Relief)
SLP(C) No. 16788/2013
(With Office Report)

Date : 26/11/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.(Not Present)

In SLP(C)16788/13

Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, Adv.
Ms.Ambreen Rasool, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kanhaiya Priyadarshi, Adv.

Mr. P. N. Puri, Adv.
Mr.Ramesh Kumar Menon, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Puri, Adv.
Mrs.Reeta Dewan Puri, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C)No.11704 of 2012

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Satish Kumar Yadav
Date: 2014.12.01
17:27:44 IST
Reason:

Arguments heard.
Judgment reserved.

SLP(C)No.11704/12 etc.etc.

2

Tag with SLP(C)No.11684 of 2012 and connected matters in which Judgment has been reserved on 05.11.2014.

SLP(C)No.8086 of 2014

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a petition for special leave to appeal following the same impugned

order has since been dismissed by this Court. In this behalf, our attention has been drawn to the order dated 29.11.2013 rendered in SLP(C)No.....CC 19794 of 2013.

In view of the order passed by this Court on 29.11.2013 in SLP(C)No.....CC 19794 of 2013, the instant petition is dismissed.

SLP(C)No.16788 of 2013

During the course of hearing, it has been pointed out that the contesting respondent No.1 has not been served. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners now have the present residential address of the contesting respondent No.1, and as such, the petitioners be permitted to effect service upon the contesting respondent No.1 at her present residential address.

Prayer is allowed.

The details of the present residential address of contesting respondent No.1 be furnished to the Registry of this Court.

Liberty is granted to serve the contesting respondent No.1 through dasti process in addition.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
COURT MASTER

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER