

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.12789/2012
(From the judgment and order dated 17/10/2011 in LPA No.1929/2011 of The
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

AJAY KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and with prayer for interim relief and office
report)

Date: 12/10/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Dhull, Adv.
for Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.-on-Record

For Respondent(s) Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.
for Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.-on-Record

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Pursuant to our order dated 21st September, 2012, original application form submitted by the petitioner has been placed before us. It clearly shows that the petitioner had made application to the Haryana Staff Selection Commission for appointment to the post of Assistant Public

...2/-

:2:

Relation Officer in the General category against quota reserved for the dependents of freedom fighters. However, in the affidavit filed by the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, it is stated that the petitioner had applied for the said post against quota reserved for Ex-Serviceman (General) category. It is, thus, apparent that the facts stated in the counter affidavit are not correct.

In that view of the matter, we are constrained to issue

notice to the Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, to show cause as to why appropriate action for filing a false affidavit in this Court be not taken against him. The cause shall be shown on an affidavit, which shall be filed within four weeks from today. The Secretary shall also remain present in Court in person. The original documents produced today are returned back to the counsel, for being produced again on the next date.

...3/-

:3:

Call the matter immediately after four weeks.

In the meantime, if so advised, it will be open to the respondents to reconsider the case of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

| (VINOD LAKHINA)
| Court Master

| | (KUSUM GULATI)
| | Court Master

|