

<

SLP(Crl.)No. 1689 OF 2004
ITEM No.42

Court No. 2

SECTION II
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1689/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 11/02/2004 in CRLM 4603(M)/03
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

KANTA & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

N.C.T. OF DELHI

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay of arrest)

Date : 24/09/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Brajesh Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. P.P. Khurana, Sr.Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(PAWAN KUMAR) (PREM PRAKASH)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER
(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1075 OF 2004
(arising out of SLP(CRL.) No.1689 of 2004)

Kanta and others.....Appellants

Versus

N.C.T. Of DelhiRespondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Leave granted.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we think it appropriate that the appellants should be released on bail. We direct that in the event of they being arrested the appellants shall be released on bail on their furnishing a self bond in the sum of Rs.5,000/- each with one surety each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority or the trial court as the case may be.

The appellants shall abide by the conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The appeal is allowed.

.....J.
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.
(S.B. SINHA)

.....J.
(A.K. MATHUR)

NEW DELHI,
SEPTEMBER 24, 2004.