

~  
ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.7

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2013  
CC 3532/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 09/10/2012 in WP No.17901/2011, of The  
HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

M.P. RURAL ROAD DEV. AUTHORITY & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.K. JAIN Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report )

WITH  
SLP(C) NO. 5923 of 2013  
(With application(s) for exemption from filing O.T. And permission to file  
additional documents and with prayer for interim relief and office report)  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 8065 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP and office  
report)  
SLP(C) NO. 13325 of 2013  
(With application(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for  
interim relief and office report)  
SLP (C) 13741 of 2013  
(With application(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for  
interim relief and office report)

WITH  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6740 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP office report)  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6758 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP office report)  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 7120 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP and C/delay in  
refiling office report)  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 7196 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP office report)  
S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 7857 of 2013  
(With application(s) for condonation of delay in filing SLP office report)

Date: 25/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

Contd...2/-

- 2 -

For Petitioner(s) Mr. B.S. Banthia,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Garvesh Kabra,Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Kabra,Adv.  
Ms. Nikita Kabra,Adv.  
Mr. A.K. Lohiya,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard.

Delay condoned.

We do not see any reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court. The special leave petitions are dismissed.

We, however, make it clear that neither this order nor that passed by the High Court shall prevent the petitioner from seeking adjudication in appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum of its claim for recovery of damages/outstanding amounts allegedly recoverable from the respondent or seeking such redress as may otherwise be warranted in facts and circumstances of the case.

(Mahabir Singh)  
Court Master

(Shashi Sareen)  
Court Master