

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 1539/2011

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MODAN SINGH

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 1540/2011

C.A. No. 1543/2011

Date : 24/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Arun K. Sinha,Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mahalik,Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Satyendra Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Sushma Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the Id. Counsel for the appellants and the Id. Counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 12.10.2011 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in

sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)

Registrar

MG