

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 430 OF 2011

REKHA @ BIMLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DINESH

Respondent(s)

Date: 22/07/2011

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. R. Sharath, Adv.
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that the Advocates appearing before this court are not aware about the facts and details of the case.

In the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that they have filed proof of dasti service whereas in fact they have failed to pay process fee and have not filed spare copies of the pleadings for issuance of dasti notice since 10.5.2011. Nobody was present for the petitioner on last occasion. Notice could not be issued for such reasons for all this period. There is a stay in favour of the petitioner since 21.4.2011; thereby proceedings before Item No.59

the trial court is stayed, which is pending in the Family Court at Faridabad.

Petitioner is residing in Delhi itself. Therefore, it would be very easy for the petitioner to confirm service upon the unserved respondents through Faridabad Court. If petitioner does not want to take steps to confirm service there is no sense in lingering such matters. However, as last chance, petitioner has to file process fee and spare copies

before 5.8.2011.

If it is not done, list before the Hon'ble Judge in
Chambers for non-prosecution.

If it is done, petitioner is permitted to serve the
unserved respondents through trial court.

List again on 21.9.2011.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

rd