

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2542 OF 2016

SAROJ HANDA @ SAROJ MALHOTRA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

YASH PAL HANDA

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. The appellant challenges the judgment and order dated 03.05.2011 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in FAO No.50-M/1993 (O&M) titled "*Yash Paul Handa vs. Saroj Handa alias Saroj Malhotra*".

2. Learned counsel for the parties submit that all the disputes and differences between the parties have been amicably resolved in terms of the Settlement Agreement dated 16th February, 2026.

3. We appreciate the efforts put in by Mr. Raman B. Garg, learned Mediator in helping the parties arrive at an amicable settlement.

4. As agreed between the parties, that respondent-Yash Pal Handa shall pay an amount of Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs only) as full and final payment to the appellant-Saroj Handa @

Saroj Malhotra as permanent alimony. The above mentioned amount i.e. Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs only) will be paid in the three equal instalments in the following manner:

(I) The First installment of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) will be paid by the first party to the second party on 15.05.2026.

(II) The second installment of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) will be paid by the first party to the second party on 22.07.2026.

(III) The third installment of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) will be paid by the first party to the second party on 30.09.2026.

5. The legal proceedings, if any, pending *inter se* the parties, shall stand closed/withdrawn.

6. The Settlement Agreement dated 16.02.2026 is made part of this order, and its terms are binding upon the parties. Parties undertake to abide by the same.

7. Parties, through their learned counsel present in the Court, have been made aware of the consequences of breach of such terms, including the initiation of contempt proceedings.

8. The impugned judgment and order dated 03.05.2011 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in FAO No. 50-M of 1993(O&M) is modified in terms of Settlement Agreement dated 16.02.2026. It is clarified that the decree of divorce *inter se* the parties shall remain as it is.

9. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH)

NEW DELHI;
15th APRIL, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 2542/2016

SAROJ HANDA @ SAROJ MALHOTRA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

YASH PAL HANDA

Respondent(s)

Date : 15-04-2026 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, AOR
Mr. T.S. Khehar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sumeet Mahajan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Saksham Mahajan, Adv.
Mr. Abhaya Nath Das, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Yasin, Adv.
Ms. Monica Goel, Adv.
Ms. Sarita Verma, Adv.
Mr. Satish Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Nitish Pande, Adv.
Ms. Sonika Gill, Adv.
Ms. Mahesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Abhay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Appeal is disposed of in terms of signed order.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)