

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Miscellaneous Application No. 183/2026 in SLP(Crl) No. 12690/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2025 in SLP(Crl) No. No. 12690/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

SHASHI ALIAS SHAHI CHIKNA VIVEKANAND JURMANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

Date : 01-04-2026 This petition was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. Sv Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :	Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR Mr. Karun Shamra, Adv. Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv. Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.	State of Manipur
---------------------	--	---------------------

Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.
Ms. Riya Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Anand, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.

State of A.P

Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR
Mr. Pranjal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kashif Irshad Khan Faridi, Adv.
Mr. Manu Arya, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Sahagal, AOR
Mr. Vikas Soni Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, AOR
Mr. Anikt harma, Adv.

Sikkim High Court

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR
Mr. Aryan Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Rastogi, Adv.

Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv.
Ms. Akansha, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Neerja, Adv.

State of Arunachal Pradesh

Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR
Ms. Shireesha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sawan Datta, Adv.

Rajasthan High Court

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Calcutta High Court

Mr. Ahanthem Henry, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Mihra, Adv.

Manipur High Court

Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Savadikar, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Sharma, Adv.

Bombay High Court

Mr. Gunnam Venkateshwara Rao, AOR
Mr. Manoj Kumar Dwivedi, Adv

State/H.C. Gujarat

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.

State of Nagaland

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi

Meghalaya High Court

Mr. Anshul Narayan, Adv.
Mr. Prem Prakash, AOR

State of Bihar

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR (State of Telangana)

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR Mrs. Anu K. Joy, Adv. Mr. Santhosh, K., Adv	[State of Kerala]
--	---------------------

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.	[State of Meghalaya]
---	------------------------

Mr. Sahir Ali Khan, AOR Mr. Pranjal Sharma, Adv. Mr. Kashif Khan, Adv Mr. Manu Arya, Adv.	[Delhi High Court]
--	----------------------

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.	[State of T.N.]
--	-------------------

Mr. Pavan Kumar, AOR Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv. Ms. Neelam, Adv. Mr. Nayeem Hasan Raza, Adv, Mr Akhalesh Kumar Soni, Adv.	[Patna High Court]
---	----------------------

O R D E R

Learned Counsel for various High Courts/State(s) are present.

2. However, majority of the States/High Courts, have not even entered appearance.

3. We can understand the reason, since notice itself was issued by the Registry of this Court on 24.03.2026 which was followed by holidays.

4. It appears that the order dated 24.02.2026 was uploaded on 09.03.2026 in which at para 15, there was a clear direction to the Registry to send notice to all concerned officers of all the States and the Union Territories, as also the High Courts, and to amend the cause title forthwith. Thus, the Registry of this Court was required to make all necessary corrections and also send notice without waiting for anything to be done by any party, as there

being a judicial order, all steps had to be taken by the Registry. Further, since this Court on the judicial side had directed the Registry to issue notice, there was no occasion or requirement for the petitioner to file any spare copies or for the Registry to wait for spare copies to be filed before sending notice.

5. Accordingly, the Court proposed to call for an explanation from the Registry through the concerned Registrar as to the reason why the order of this Court was not promptly complied with. However, by way of indulgence the Court refrains from doing so, for the present. The Registry is cautioned to ensure that such act is not repeated in future.

6. Mr. Sandeep Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the High Court of Bombay at the request of the Court, has readily agreed that he would communicate the order dated 24.02.2026 passed in this case to the Standing Counsel of all the States and Union Territories and also of the High Courts, if there is a panel, as also the Registrars General of the High Courts.

7. The learned Standing Counsel/Registrars General of the High Courts are directed to take full instructions in the matter and file their affidavits within three weeks thereafter.

8. List the matter on 13.05.2026 at 3 P.M.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR