

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 392 OF 2012

SWATI SURANA JAIN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GAURAV JAIN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the averments made in the transfer petition as well as in the counter affidavit and rejoinder affidavit.

2. Petitioner-wife has stated in the transfer petition that she has no source of income and is completely dependent on her parents for her and minor son's livelihood and daily expenses.

3. In the counter affidavit, this fact has been expressly denied and it is stated that she is employed with ICICI Bank.

4. Rejoinder has been filed by the petitioner wherein it is admitted by her that she is working for gain at Jaipur.

5. Having regard to the fact that the petitioner has made a false statement in the transfer petition to make out a case for transfer of H.M.A. No. 512 of 2011, we are satisfied that the matter does not deserve exercise of discretion by us in her favour.

6. Since the petitioner has tendered apology, we do not intend to take any action against her.

7. However, transfer petition is dismissed.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 13, 2012

.....J.
(SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA)
COURT NO.7 SECTION XVIA

ITEM NO.5

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 392 OF 2012

