

ITEM NO.112

COURT NO.7

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 117 OF 2004

INST.OF MANG.DEV.&amp;RES.JAMMU CENTRE &amp;ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJYA JAMWAL

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay  
WITH T.P.(CRL) NO. 164-171 of 2005  
T.P.(CRL) NO. 24 of 2005  
(With office report)

Date: 18/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil K. Chopra, Adv.  
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Bijan Kumar Ghosh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The transfer petitions are dismissed in terms  
of the signed order.

(KALYANI GUPTA)  
SR. P.A.  
CORRECTED COPY

(VINOD KULVI)  
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]  
CORRECTED COPY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO.117 OF 2004

INST. OF MANG. DEV. & RES.  
JAMMU CENTRE & ORS.

.....

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

SANJYA JAMWAL

.....

RESPONDENT

WITH  
TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO. 24 OF 2005  
AND  
TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO. 164-171 OF 2005

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

We are not inclined to transfer these matters outside the State of Jammu & Kashmir as the question of territorial jurisdiction has been raised by the accused. The question of territorial jurisdiction may first be raised before the trial court and the accused if aggrieved by any order made by that Court may pursue its remedies according to law.

The transfer petitions are dismissed in the above terms.

.....J  
[HARJIT SINGH BEDI]

.....J  
CORRECTED COPY

[CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]

NEW DELHI  
AUGUST 18, 2010.