

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**ITEM NO.76**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4741/2026
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-01-2026
in IA No. 1478/2026 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at
Ranchi]

TAUSIF ALI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 33767/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 33765/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

WITH

SLP(C) No. 4316-4318/2026 (XVII-B)IA No. 32116/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 32118/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 33163/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES**ITEM NO.77**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4820-4821/2026

Date : 02-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTAFor Petitioner(s) :Mr. D. S. Naidu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kumar Shivam, AOR
Mr. Sameer Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Tripathi, Adv.Mr. K Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Anindita Mitra, AOR
Mr. Pradipto Mitra, Adv.
Mr. N. Sai Kaushal, Adv.
Mr. Prasad Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Adit Garg, Adv.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Harshita Choubey, Adv.
Ms. Riddhi Jad, Adv.
Mr. Ketan Paul, AOR
Ms. Ujala Singh, Adv.
Mr. Prachet Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.*

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rishav Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Aman Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Yagyawalkya Singh, AOR
Mr. Akash Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Manjari Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Akhilesh Kr. Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Neha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue notice, returnable within four weeks.

Tag Special Leave Petition(Civil) Nos. 4820-
4821/2026 with Special Leave Petition(Civil)
No.4741/2026 and the connected case.

Mr. Rishav Ranjan, learned counsel, already
represents respondent-Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jha.

Notice be sent to unrepresented respondents.

By the impugned order dated 14th January,
2026, the High Court directed the State
Administration to take appropriate action on the
basis of the Report submitted by a Committee of
three Advocates. Subsequent thereto, applications
were filed by a large number of residents of the
concerned area seeking appropriate directions, which
were dismissed by a detailed order on 28th January,

2026. Thereafter, another set of applications was moved by a separate set/group of residents of the area, which were dismissed on 29th January, 2026. All the three orders are under challenge in the present matters.

It is submitted that while passing the order dated 14th January, 2026 on the basis of the Committee's Report, the petitioners were never afforded an opportunity of hearing by the Committee and, therefore, any order directing removal of their constructions would cause grave/great prejudice and hardship to the petitioners, as they would be dispossessed without being granted an opportunity of hearing.

It is further submitted that the petitioners were not made/impleaded parties in the pending writ petition bearing W.P.(PIL) No. 2078 of 2018, wherein the order dated 14th January, 2026 was passed.

We, accordingly, provide that in the meantime, *status quo shall* be maintained with regard to constructions qua the petitioners only.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS
* *Appearance slip not submitted.*

(RANJANA SHAILY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR