

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1765/2012
(From the judgement and order dated 16/11/2011 in WP
No.6352/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

SALIM Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NDMC & ANR Respondent(s)
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 2241 of 2012 with IA NO. 2 (application for
interim directions)
(With appln. (s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
judgment and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 2455-2459 of 2012 with IA Nos. 1-5 (applns. For
interim directions)
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3267 of 2012
(With appln. (s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
judgment and permission to place addl. Documents on record and
with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3295 of 2012
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 27/01/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ramesh K Mishra,Adv.
 Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh,Adv.

 Mr. Pranesh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khanna,Sr.Adv.
 Mr. Surya Kant,Adv.
 Mr. Dushyant Parashar,Adv.
 Mr. Pranav Vyas,Adv.

..2/-

: 2 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue notice.

(N.K. Goel)

(Phoolan Wati Arora)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 25 OF 2012

RAJENDRA SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE & ORS.
(With appln. For interim directions and
supplementary affidavit and office report)

Respondent(s)
permission to file

Date: 27/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ramesh K Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In this petition, the petitioner has made the
following substantive prayers:

"1. Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or
any other appropriate writ directing the respondents
No. 1 & 2 not to interfere or create hindrance in
the vending activities of the petitioner till his
entitlement for allotment of tehbazari licence under
the appropriate legislation (to be enacted under the
direction of this Court of Gaiinda Ram & Ors. vs. MCD

& Ors. [2010 (10) SCC 715]) is finalized; and/or

2. Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or
any other appropriate writ directing the respondents
No. 1 & 2 to maintain status quo qua vending site
and vending activities of the Petitioner as per NCT
of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Act, 2011 or any
other law of similar nature; and/or

3. Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ directing the respondents 1 to 4 not to regulate vending activities of the petitioner except in consonance of the National Policy on Urban Street Vendors, 2009 till enactment of appropriate legislation for regulation of street vending; and/or
4. Pass any other order and/or directions, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper."

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner for a while, we are satisfied that there is no valid ground or justification for entertaining the writ petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. However, liberty is given to the petitioner to file an appropriate petition before the jurisdictional High Court.
(N.K. Goel) (Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master Court Master