

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONT. PET. (C) NOS.120-121 OF 2005 IN S.L.P. (C) NOS.18784-18785 OF 2003

SAMALA RAJA MALLAIAH & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M. MOHAN REDDY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 25/07/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. M. Srinivas R. Rao,Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Kotni,Adv.

Mr. Joydip Bhattacharya,Adv.

Ms. Neeru Vaid,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.R. Reddy,Sr.Adv.

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy,Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.

Ms. K. Amreswari,Sr.Adv.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri,Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Saud,Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash,Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The

[T.I. Rajput]

[V.P. Tyagi]

Court Master

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION [C] NOS.120-121 OF 2005

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NOS.18784-18785 OF 2003

Samala Raja Mallaiah & Anr.

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

M. Mohan Reddy & Anr.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, though possession of the premises in question was handed over to his clients but the articles lying in the premises were not removed and they have been now given on a bond by the bailiff to

his clients. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the respondents-

contemnors are not removing the said articles. On the other hand, on instructions, it is submitted on behalf of both the respondents-contemnors that all the articles have been removed and none of their articles is in the premises or with the petitioners. In this view, in case the articles are with the petitioners, they may deal with the same in any manner as they deem fit.

The contempt petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.

.....

[Y.K. SABHARWAL]

.....J.

.....

[ARUN KUMAR]

New Delhi,

July 25, 2005.