

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 19769/2013

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S PCL-SUNCON (JV)

Respondent(s)

WITH
SLP(C) No. 21357/2013
(With appln.(s) for Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 21486/2013
(With appln.(s) for withdrawal of slp and Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 23126/2013
(With appln.(s) for Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 721/2014
(With appln.(s) for Office Report)

Date : 07/08/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Meenakshi Sood,Adv.
M/s. M. V. Kini & Associates ,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Y. Lokesh,Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish ,Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee ,Adv.
Mr. Nitin Lonkar,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 19769/2013

Signature Not Verified What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that

the sole respondent has filed the counter affidavit. Viewed thus, the
Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover
Date: 2014.08.08
16:22:45 IST

matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under
Reason:

the rules, if and when the connected ones get ready for listing.

..2/-

SLP(C) No. 21357/2013

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that
service is complete as against the sole respondent but no one has
entered appearance on his behalf. Viewed, in that context, the matter
shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court, under the

rules, if and when the connected ones get ready for listing.

SLP(C) No. 21486/2013

The office report indicates that the learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an application for withdrawal of the SLP. The said application shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. thereafter.

List

SLP(C) No. 23126/2013

Mr. R. Sathish , Ld. Advocate has appeared on behalf of the sole respondent. He seeks and is given six weeks time to file the vakalatnama and the counter affidavit.

SLP(C) No. 721/2014

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that the respondent has filed the counter affidavit which is found to be defective. The learned counsel is directed to rectify the defects whatever have been found in the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks.

List again on 17.10.2014.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

Mg