

SECTION – IX

WEEKLY LIST NO.23/2014

COURT NO.1

ITEM NO.32

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 8161-8185 OF 2011

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.51-75

(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr.Nikhil Goel in C.A.No.8165 of 2011)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8160 OF 2011

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.29-42

(Application for direction filed by Ms.Shobha,Advocate for Respondent No.1)

GUJARAT MINERAL DEV.CORPN.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

RAM SANG BHILALBHAI & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE-REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 16th September, 2011 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Leave granted.

Interim order dated 12.11.2010 is made absolute to last till the disposal of the appeals".

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8161,8166,8167,8168,8170,8171,8172, 8175,8177,8179-8181,8184 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in these matters and represented by Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8162-8164,8173,8176,8183 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in these matters and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8165,8178 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in these matters. Mr. Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.2 but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8169 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.1 and R.No.2 is represented by Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8174 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter and Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.1 but no one has entered appearance on its behalf. In respect of Respondent No.2 Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8182 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter and certificate of Certificate of service has been received in respect of both the respondents but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8185 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

Service of Notice of Lodgment of Petition of Appeal is complete in all the matters. Original record has been received.

Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but no statement of case has been filed by Counsel for respondents.

It is further submitted that Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate for the respondents has filed an Application for permission to file Additional documents which is registered as I.A.Nos.51-75 and the same is placed before the Hon'ble Court.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8160 OF 2011

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8158 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8159-8160 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

Service of Notice of Lodgment of Petition of Appeal is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but no statement of case has been filed by Counsel for respondents.

It is further submitted that Ms.Shobha,Advocate for Respondent No.1 in all the matters has filed an Application for direction which is registered as I.A.Nos.29-42 and the same is already included in the paper books.

The Appeals alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :

1. Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate
2. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate
3. Ms. Shobha, Advocate.
4. Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 8161-8185 OF 2011
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.51-75
(Application for permission to file additional documents)
AND
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8160 OF 2011
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.29-42
(Application for direction)

GUJARAT MINERAL DEV.CORPN.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

RAM SANG BHILALBHAI & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE-REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 16th September, 2011 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Leave granted.

Interim order dated 12.11.2010 is made absolute to last till the disposal of the appeals".

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8161,8166,8 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8162 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8163 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8164 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8165 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.2 but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8166 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8167 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8168 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8169 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.1 and R.No.1 is represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8170 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8171 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8172 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and represented by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8173 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8174 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter and Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.1 but no one has entered appearance on its behalf. In respect of Respondent No.2 Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8175 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8176 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8177 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8178 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No.1. Certificate of service has been received in respect of Respondent No.2 but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8179 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8180 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8181 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8182 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter and certificate of Certificate of service has been received in respect of both the respondents but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8183 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and certificate of service has been received but no one has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8184 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8185 OF 2011

It is submitted that there is sole-respondent in this matter and Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate has entered appearance on its behalf.

Service of Notice of Lodgment of Petition of Appeal is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but no statement of case has been filed by Counsel for respondents.

It is further submitted that Mr.Nikhil Goel,Advocate for the respondents has filed an Application for permission to file Additional documents which is registered as I.A.Nos.51-75 and the same is placed before the Hon'ble Court.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8160 OF 2011

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8147-8148 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8149-8150 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8151-8152 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8153-8154 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8155-8156 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are three common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8157-8158 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.8159-8160 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in these matters. Ms.Shobha and Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 respectively.

Service of Notice of Lodgment of Petition of Appeal is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but no statement of case has been filed by Counsel for respondents.

It is further submitted that Ms.Shobha,Advocate has filed an Application for direction which is registered as I.A.Nos.29-42 and the same is already included in the paper books.

The Appeals alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :

1. Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate
2. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate
3. Ms. Shobha,Advocate.
4. Mrs.Hemantika Wahi,Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4
(Application for Stay)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1775 OF 2014

BABUBHAI GOVINDBHAI & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

DAYAKORBEN & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. 37283 of 2013 alongwith other connected matters were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27th January, 2014 when the Court while granting leave was pleased to pass the following Order:

"since both parties are aggrieved by the impugned judgment and also having regard to the findings recorded by the High Court, we are of the view that the special leave petition requires consideration.

Leave granted.

Hearing expedited.

Counter Affidavit may be filed by the contesting respondents within four weeks.

Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the appellants within two weeks.

As regards prayer for interim relief, list the matter after six weeks"

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are seventy one respondents in the matter. Ms. Diksha Rai, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 to 4. She has also filed counter affidavit. Mr. Vikash Singh, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondnet No. 5 and he has also filed counter affidavit. Mr. Rashmikumar Manilal Vithlani, Advoate has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 9, 10, 13, 16-18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-30 and 32.

It is further submitted that Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, Advocate for the appellant has filed on an application for stay which is registered as I.A. No. 4 and placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Service on Respondent Nos. 1, 6 to 8, 11, 12, 14-15, 19, 22, 25, 31 and 33-71 is incomplete as certificate of service is awaited from the High Court.

Original Record is awaited.

Contd...2/

:2:

The application in the appeal above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, Advocate
2. Ms. Diksha Rai, Advocate
3. Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
4. Mr. Rashmikumar Manilal Vithlani, Advoate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5 AND 3
(Applications for directions in C.A.No. 10933 and 10934 of 2013 respectively)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10933 AND 10934 OF 2013

OSWAL AGRO MILLS LTD. ETC.

.....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

HINDUSTAN PETROLEUM CORP. LTD. & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The appeals above mentioned were dismissed by this Hon'ble Court on 10th December, 2013 in view of the majority opinion. (Copy of the Judgment dated 10.12.2013 is enclosed herewith.)

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that Ms. Manjusha Wadhwa, Advocate for the appellant has filed application for directions in both the matters above mentioned and the same are registered as Interlocutory Application No. 5 in Civil Appeal No. 10933 of 2013 and Interlocutory Application No. 3 in Civil Appeal No. 10934 of 2013.

The applications in the matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Ms. Manjusha Wadhwa, Advocate
2. Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Advocate
3. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Advocate
4. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate
5. Mr Sanjay Kapoor, Advocate

a

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2
(Application for intervention)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3489 OF 2012

TCI INDUSTRIES LTD. SECUNDRABAD

.....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

MUN. CORP. OF GR. BOMBAY & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No. 10381 of 2012 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 9th April, 2012 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Leave granted."

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are three respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Ms. Meera Mathur and Mr. B. V. Balram Das, Advocate respectively. Proof of service has been received from the High Court of Judicature of Bombay in respect of respondent No. 3 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, Advocate has filed an application for intervention. The same is registered as I.A. No. 2 and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

Original Record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

The application in the matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Advocate
2. Ms. Meera Mathur, Advocate
3. Mr. B. V. Balram Das, Advocate
4. Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7434 OF 2012

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application for permission to file additional documents)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for bringing on record the LR's of appellant No. 1)

VIPINCHANDRA VADILAL BAVISHI & ANR.

.....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory application No. 3 (Application for early hearing) in the matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 23rd September, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Accordingly to the learned senior counsel appearing for the applicant/appellant, the issue in this matter is covered by a judgment of this Court reported in 2013(4) SCC 280 [State of Uttar Pradesh V. Hari Ram].
List the matter for final disposal in the first week of December, 2013.
I.A. No. 3 for early hearing is disposed of."**

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that counsel for the appellant has filed an application for bringing on record the LR's of appellant alongwith vakalatnama/appearance. The same is registered as I.A. No. 5 and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have not filed statement of case.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. K. V. Sreekumar, Advocate
2. Ms. Hemantika Wah, Advocate
3. Mr. Mohit Kumar Shah, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5994 AND 5999 OF 2009

VIPINCHANDRA VADILAL BAVISHI & ANR.APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 3rd April, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel for the appellant in Civil Appeal No. 5994 of 2009, prays for time to enable the advocate-on-record for the appellant to file additional documents.
Learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 also prays for time to place on record certain documents.
Both the parties are permitted to file their additional documents.
List the matters after four weeks."

Thereafter, the matters were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 12th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"A request has been made to the Court that the arguing counsel representing the appellant in Civil Appeal No. 5999 of 2009 in suffering from viral fever. An adjournment has accordingly be sought.
Prayer is allowed.
List after four weeks."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 03.04.2014 counsel for Respondent No. 2 has filed additional affidavit and the same is being included in the appeal paper books.

Service is complete in both the matters.

Original Record has been received in both the matters.

It is further submitted that in Civil Appeal No. 5994/2009 counsel for both the parties have not filed statement of case and in Civil Appeal No. 5999/2000 counsel for the appellant has not filed statement of case but counsel for respondent no. 2 has filed statement of case.

Contd...2/

:2:

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mrs. V. D. Khanna, Advocate
2. Mr. S. C. Birla, Advocate
3. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
4. M/s. J. D. Wad & Co., Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 611-612 OF 2005

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 9-10
(Applications for intervention filed by Ms. K. V. Bharti Upadhyay, Advocate)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 11-12
(Applications for intervention filed by M/s. M. V. Kini & Associates, Advocates)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 13-14
(Applications for amendment of the cause title on behalf of the petitioner)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 15-16
(Applications for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for Respondent No. 1)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 17-18
(Applications for revocation of leave filed by Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for Respondent No. 1)

MOTOROLA INC.APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

IRIDIUM INDIA TELECOM LTD. & ORS.RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 6th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"At the hearing, Shir Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel for Respondent No. 1 has drawn our attention to the contents of an Interlocutory Application, on the basis of which it is submitted that the leave granted by this Court should be withdrawn. Copy of the said I.A. has been served on the counsel for the appellant only yesterday. In that view of the matter, we grant two weeks' time to the appellant to respond to the statements made in the various documents enclosed to the I.A.

List the matters on 3rd September, 2014.
The Registry is directed to register the interlocutory application."

It is submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for Respondent No. 1 has filed applications for permission to file additional documents

Contd...2/

:2:

and revocation of leave, which are registered as I.A. Nos. 15-16 and 17-18 respectively.

It is further submitted that M/s. K. J. John, Advocate for appellant has filed reply affidavit and Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for Respondent No. 1 has filed rejoinder affidavit. The same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

The common original record has been received from the High Court.

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. K. J. John & Co., Advocates
2. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate
3. Ms. Bina Gupta, Advocate
4. Ms. K. V. Bharti, Advocate
5. M/s. M. V. Kini & Associates, Advcoates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4158-4159 OF 2002

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4163-4164, 4160, 4170-4171, 4167-4169, 4165-4166, 4179-4180, 4172-4174, 4178, 4177 OF 2002

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9661, 9662, 9663-9666 AND 9667 OF 2003

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 26-27 OF 2009

(filed by Mr. Abhijit Sen Gupta, Advocate)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4156-4157 OF 2002

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 113-114 OF 2008

(filed by S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4158-4159 OF 2002

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 538-539 OF 2011

(filed by Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, since designated as senior Advocate)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4175-4176 OF 2002

BOMBAY HAWKERS UNION & ANR. ETC. ETC.

.....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

BRIHAN MUMBAI MUNICIPAL CORPN. & ANR. ETC. ETC.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Application for direction in Civil Appeal Nos. 4156-4157 of 2002 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 9th September, 2013 when the Court inter-alia passed the following Order:

“...The parties, whose applications have remained pending before this Court, shall be free to institute appropriate proceedings in the jurisdictional High Court. If so advised, the aggrieved person shall be free to file petition under Article 226 of the Constitution. All the appeals and I.As are disposed of in the manner indicated above.”

Thereafter, the interlocutory application nos. 286-287 of 2009 in Civil Appeals No. 4156-4157 of 2002 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18th November, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

Contd...2/

:2:

"These applications have been filed by Andheri Palika Bazar Members' Welfare Association for issue of a direction to the authorities to make allotment of pitches constructed under the Andheri Flyover Bridge also called Andheri Flyover Hawking Plaza and to restrain them from converting the Hawking Plaza into Gallas.

By a detailed order dated 09.09.2013 passed in C.A.Nos.4156-4157 of 2002 and connected matters along with interlocutory applications filed by the parties, this Court had given various directions and also gave liberty to the parties to approach the jurisdictional High Court for redressal of their grievance. Paragraph 18 of that order reads as under:

"18. The parties, whose applications have remained pending before this Court, shall be free to institute appropriate proceedings in the jurisdictional High Court. If so advised, the aggrieved person shall be free to file petition under Article 226 of the Constitution."

In view of the aforementioned order, these applications are disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file appropriate proceedings before the jurisdictional High Court which shall be disposed of by the concerned High Court after hearing the parties."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court the service positions of the matters above mentioned are as follows:

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4158-4159 OF 2002

There are two respondents in the matters. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent No. 1 and Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate is representing Respondent No. 2.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4163-4164 OF 2002

There are seven respondents in the matters. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent No. 1. Respondent No. 7 is represented through Mr. A. P.

:3:

Mayee, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 stand served at the SLP stage. Certificate of service in respect of Respondent Nos. 2 and 6 have been received from the High Court of Judicature at Bombay. Unserved registered cover containing the notice has been received back from the High Court in respect of Respondent No. 5 with the postal remark "Left". The Counsel has been informed but he has not furnished the fresh and complete address of Respondent No. 5.

It is further submitted that Mr. Sushil Kr. Jain, Advocate for appellant has been designated as Sr. Advocate. Hence notice for alternative arrangement has been sent to the appellants but neither A.D. Card nor unserved notice has been received back.

Service of notice is not complete on Respondent No. 5.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4160 OF 2002

There are two respondents in this matter. Mr. A. P. Mayee and Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

It is submitted that Mr. M. N. Shroff, Advocate for the appellant has expired and notice for alternative arrangement has been issued and the same has been received back with postal remark "unclaimed".

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4170-4171 OF 2002

There are seven respondents in the matters. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Respondent Nos. 3 to 7 have been served with notice at the SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4167-4169 OF 2002

There are four respondents in the matters. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent No. 1. Respondent No. 4 is represented through Mr. P. Parmeshwaran, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have been served with notice of lodgment of petition of appeal but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4165-4166 OF 2002

There is sole respondent in the matters who is represented through Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4179-4180 OF 2002

There are four respondents in Civil Appeal No. 4179 of 2002 and twelve respondents in Civil Appeal Nos. 4180 of 2002. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in Civil Appeal No. 4179 of 2002 and Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 in Civil Appeal No. 4180 of 2002. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate is representing Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in Civil Appeal No. 4179 of 2002. Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Advocate is representing to Respondent Nos. 5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 in Civil Appeal No. 4180 of 2002. Respondent Nos. 2, 7 and 10 in Civil Appeal No. 4180 of 2002 stand served at SLP stage. Certificate of service of notice of lodgment of petition of appeal is received from the High Court in respect of Respondent Nos. 4 and 6 in Civil Appeal No. 4180 of 2002.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4172-4174 OF 2002

There are seven respondents in the matters. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent Nos. 1 and 3. Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are represented through Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2, 6 and 7 have been served with notice but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4178 OF 2002

There are twelve respondents in this matter. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate is representing to Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Mrs. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Advcoate is representing to Respondent Nos. 5, 6 and 9 to 12. Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 stand served at SLP stage.

Original Record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4177 OF 2002

There are twelve respondents in the matter. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are representing through Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 5, 6, 9, 10 and 12 are represented through Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 7 and 8 stand served at SLP stage. Certificate of service has been received from High Court of Bombay but no one has filed vakalatnama/appearance on their behalf so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Sushil Kr. Jain, Advocate for appellant has been designated as Sr. Advocate. Hence notice for alternative arrangement has been sent to the appellants but neither A.D. Card nor unserved notice has been received back.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9661 OF 2003

It is submitted that there are twelve respondents in this appeal. Service of notice of lodgment of petition of appeal is complete on Respondent Nos. 4 to 12 through A. D. Cards.

The notice of lodgment of petition of appeal was sent to Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 but neither A.D. Cards nor the unserved registered covers have been received back so far.

Service is not complete.

Original Record received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9662 OF 2003

There are six respondent in this matter. Certificate of service in respect of all the respondents but no one has chosen to enter appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9663-9666 OF 2003

There are thirteen common respondents in these appeals. The Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate in all the appeals.

Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal was issued to Respondent Nos. 2 to 13 by registered A.D. Post.

Service is complete on Respondent No. 3 as the A.D card duly signed has been received from him.

Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal sent to Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 to 13 have been received back unserved by the Registry with the postal remarks in respect of Respondent No. 2 “Not Known”, Respondent No. 4 “Left”, Respondent No. 5 “Not Known”, Respondent No. 6 “Unclaimed”, Respondent No. 7 “Expired”, Respondent No. 8 “Unclaimed” and Respondent Nos. 9 to 13 “Expired”.

Accordingly, counsel for the Appellants was requested to furnish the latest and correct addresses of unserved Respondent Nos. 2, 4 to 6 and 8 for affecting service of notice of lodgment of petition of appeal and also requested to take steps to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased Respondent Nos. 7 and 9 to 13, but the Counsel has neither furnished the latest and correct addresses nor taken steps to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased Respondents.

Service is not complete.

Original Record Received.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9667 OF 2003

There are twelve respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate. The names of Respondent Nos. 2, 4, 7 and 9 to 12 have been deleted from array of the parties at the risk of the appellant vide Court's Order dated 7.04.2003. The respondent Nos. 3, 5, 6 and 8 have been served with the notice at SLP stage.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

It is lastly submitted that no orders have been passed in contempt petition Nos. 26-27 of 2009 (filed by Mr. Abhijit Sen Gupta, Advocate) in Civil Appeal Nos. 4156-4157 of 2002 and contempt petition Nos. 538-539 of 2011 (filed by Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, since designated as Senior Advocate) in Civil Appeal 4175-4176 of 2002 though these appeals have already been disposed of by the Hon'ble Court on 9th September, 2013.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

M/s K.J. John & Co., Advocates
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

Ms. Bina Gupta, Advocate

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 25929 AND 25930 OF 2009
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

ANUSHREE SAH

...PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

BOMBAY MERCANTILE COOP. BANK LTD.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 26th October, 2009 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"SLP (C) NO. 25929 OF 2009

Issue notice and tag with Special Leave
Petition (c) No. 25930 of 2009.

SLP (C) NO. 25930 OF 2009

Issue notice and tag with Special Leave
Petition (c) No. 25929 of 2009.

SLP (C) NO. 25929 OF 2009 and SLP (C) No. 25930 of
2009

are tagged with Civil Appeal Nos. 5680 of
2009.

Let the matters be taken up one after the
other.

Interim order granted shall continue with
the modification that apart from taking recourse
to The Securitisation Reconstruction of Financial
Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act,
2002, the respondent-Bank will also be at liberty
to take other remedies to which they may be
entitled. However, the Bank shall not actually
dispossess the petitioners, without the leave of
the Court."

SLP (C) No. 25929 of 2009

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two Respondents. M/s. COAC, Advocates have filed vakalatnama/appearance and Counter affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No. 1. Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance and counter affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 which is already included in the paper books.

:2:

SLP (C) No. 25930 of 2009

It is submitted that M/s. COAC, Advocates have filed vakalatnama/appearance and counter affidavit on behalf of sole respondent. Counter affidavit is already included in the paper books.

Service of notice is complete.

The matter alongwith connected matter were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 12th February, 2014 when the Court inter-alia pass the following Order:

Civil Appeal No. 5680 of 2009

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the disputes between the parties have been amicably settled and therefore he has instructions to make a prayer for withdrawal of the appeal.

Learned counsel appearing for the applicant in I.A. Nos. 7 & 8 seeking intervention, has also made a request for withdrawal of these I.As. Accordingly, the civil appeal and I.A.Nos. 7 & 8 stand dismissed as withdrawn.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. COAC, Advocates
2. Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 24309 OF 2010
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

OMKAR BODY BUILDERS

...PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

THE KHERALUNARIK SAHAKARI BANK
LTD. & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 4th October, 2010 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Issue notice.
Prayer for interim relief is allowed.
Tag with C.A. No. 5680/2009."**

Thereafter, the matter was listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers on 1st October, 2012 when His Lordship was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Issue fresh notice to the respondents
returnable within six weeks."**

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two Respondents. Show cause notice was issued through Registered A.D. Post. A.D. Card has been received from Respondent No. 2 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Neither A. D. Card nor unserved cover has been received back from the respondent No. 1 so far.

The matter alongwith connected matter were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 12th February, 2014 when the Court inter-alia pass the following Order:

**Civil Appeal No. 5680 of 2009
Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the disputes between the parties have been amicably settled and therefore he has instructions to make a prayer for withdrawal of the appeal.
Learned counsel appearing for the applicant in I.A. Nos. 7 & 8 seeking intervention, has also made a request for withdrawal of these I.As. Accordingly, the civil appeal and I.A.Nos. 7 & 8 stand dismissed as withdrawn.**

Contd...2/

: 2 :

Service of notice is incomplete on Respondent No. 1.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4489 OF 2009

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 to 6)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Advocate for Respondent No. 8)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for exemption from filing official translation)

REFRIGERATED TRUCK OWNERS WELFARE
ASSOCIATION & ANR.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The appeal above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 20th April, 2011, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"In this case, on 12.02.2009, a very detailed order was passed by a Bench presided over by Hon'ble Altamas Kabir, J. after hearing learned counsel for the parties.

In our opinion, since the matter has been heard at great length by a Bench presided over by Hon'ble Altamas Kabir, J., it will be appropriate if the case is listed before that Bench.

List before that Bench after getting nomination from the Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India"

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are eight respondents in the appeal above mentioned. Respondent No. 3 is represented by Mr. D. S. Mahra, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 4 to 6 are represented by Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate and Respondent No. 8 is represented by Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 7 have been served with the notice at the SLP stage by way of dasti service by the counsel for the appellants, but they have not chosen to appearance so far.

Service is complete.

Contd...2/

:2:

Original Record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

The appeal alongwith applications above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 2nd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Advocate
2. Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Advocate
3. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate
4. Mr. D. S. Mahra, Advocate

a

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 958-962 OF 2009

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 11-15
(Applications for directions)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 16-20
(Applications for amendment in I.A. Nos. 11-15)

WITH
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1054 OF 2009

PRAVARA INST. OF MEDICAL SCIENCE, LONI ETC.APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

PARENTS ASSN. OF MEDICAL STUDENTS & ORS.RESPONDENTS
OFFICE REPORT

The interlocutory applications Nos. 26-30 (Application of early hearing) in Civil Appeal Nos. 958-962 of 2009 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 7th February, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"List the appeals in the month of March, 2014.
I.A.s for early hearing are disposed of."**

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the service of notice is complete on all the respondents. The service position is as follows:

Civil Appeal No. 958 of 2009

There are six respondents in this appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate, Respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 5 are represented by Mr. R. K. Adsure, Advocate and respondent no. 6 is represented by Mr. Gopal Singh, Advocate. Respondent No. 4 is served by way of publication but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on his behalf.

Civil Appeal No. 959 of 2009

There are twenty seven respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 to 8, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18 and 19 are represented by Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 23, 24 and 25 are represented through Mr. R. K. Adsure, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 9, 13 to 16, 20 to 22 and 26 are served by way of publication but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Civil Appeal No. 960 of 2009

There are forty seven respondents in this appeal. All the Respondents are represented by Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate.

...2/-

Civil Appeal No. 961 of 2009

There are thirty five respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 1, 9, 11 to 16 and 19 are represented by Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 31, 32, 33 are represented through Mr. R. K. Adsure, Advocate. Respondent No. 35 is represented by Mr. Gopal Singh, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 3, 4, 6 to 8, 17, 18, 21 to 30 are served by way of publication but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf. A.D. cards have been received from Respondent Nos. 2, 5, 10, 20 and 34.

Civil Appeal No. 962 of 2009

There are three respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented by Mr. R. K. Adsure, Advocate. Respondent No. 3 is represented by Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate.

It is submitted that Mr. S. M. Jadhav, counsel for the appellant and Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, one of the counsel for respondents have filed statement of case but M/s. A. P. Mayee, R. K. Adsure and Mr. Gopal Singh, counsel for respondents have not filed statement of case in Civil Appeal Nos. 958-962 of 2009.

Civil Appeal No. 1054 of 2009

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are three respondents in this matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate and respondent nos. 2 and 3 have been served through High Court but no one has filed vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 2 and 3 so far.

Service of notice is complete in all the appeals.

Original record has been received.

It is further submitted that counsel for appellant and counsel for Respondent No.1 have filed statement of case in Civil Appeal No.1054 of 2009.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

...3/-

Copy to :-

1. Mr. S. M. Jadhav, Advocate
2. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
3. Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate
4. Mr. R. K. Adsure, Advocate
5. Mr. Gopal Singh, Advocate
6. Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate

a

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7448 OF 2008
AND
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7449 OF 2008

DHARMAJI SHANKAR SHINDE & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS -

RAJARAM SHRIPAD JOSHI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Registrar's Court on 15th May, 2014 when he has passed the following Order:

"Registry to process the matters for pre-final
hearing.
List again on 4.9.2014."

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are four common respondents in both the matters i.e. 1(i) – 1(v), 2(i) – 2(ii), 3 and 4. Mr. V. K. Sidharthan, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of all the respondents.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

The Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

The appeals above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar for Pre final hearing with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. A. T. M. Sampath, Advocate
2. Mr. V. K. Sidharthan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. 2019-2020 OF 2014

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4887 AND 4888 OF 2014

GAIV DINSHAW IRANI & ORS.

....PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

TEHMTAN IRANI & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

The Review Petitions above-mentioned have been filed by M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Advocates and the same is within time.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

BRANCH OFFICER

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. 2019-2020 OF 2014
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4887 AND 4888 OF 2014

GAIV DINSHAW IRANI & ORS.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

TEHMTAN IRANI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for information of the Hon'ble Court that M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Advocates have filed Review Petitions to review the Order dated 25.04.2014 passed by this Hon'ble Court in the matters above mentioned and the Review Petitions are within time.

It is further submitted that some annexures annexed to the captioned Review Petitions are having dim impression and counsel has filed a letter dated 02.09.2014 stating therein that annexure are already form part of the record of the SLP in the matter and may be read as a part and parcel of the instant Review Petition. (copy of the letter is enclosed herewith.)

The Review Petitions above mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judges in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4186 OF 2012
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) No. 307 OF 2012

GANPAT (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

DASRAO (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application No. 5 (Application for early hearing) was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18th July, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :-

"Though Civil Appeal is of 2012, but having regard to the fact that the subject matter of the appeal is suit for eviction which was filed way back in 1976, we expedite hearing of Civil Appeal. Civil Appeal is directed to be posted for hearing within six weeks from today.

Interlocutory Application No.5 of 2014 stands disposed of accordingly."

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the Contempt Petition as above-mentioned was filed by the counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 21st September, 2012 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order :-

"Post the contempt petition along with the civil appeal. Hearing expedited."

It is further submitted that there are nine respondents in this matter. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are represented through Mr. Shashibhushan P. Adgaonkar, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 5 to 9 are served at the SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

The appeal alongwith Contempt Petition as above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :

1. Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Advocate
2. Mr. Shashibhushan P. Adgaonkar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6845-6846 OF 2008

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6847-6848 OF 2008

D. H. ULEMALE

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

PUNJABRAO KRISHI VIDYAPEETH & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The service position of the Civil Appeals above mentioned are as follows:

Civil Appeal No. 6845-6846 of 2008

It is submitted that there are four respondents in these matters. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are represented through Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Advocate. Service of notice is complete on respondent No. 4 (4a-4d). Ms. Asha G. Nair, Advocate has withdrawn vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 1.

The counsel for the appellant has not filed process fee for effecting service of Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal on Respondent No. 1

Service is incomplete on Respondent No. 1.

Civil Appeal No. 6847-6848 of 2008

It is submitted that there are three respondents in these matters. Respondent No. 1 has been expired. The counsel for appellant has filed an application for substitution to bring on record the LR's of deceased Respondent No. 1 and the same is defective. The defects are still not cured. Respondent No. 3 is represented through Mr. Jagjit Singh Chabra, Advocate. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Advocate has withdrawn vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 2.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar for pre final hearing with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. C. S. Ashri, Advocate

Ms. Angha S. Desai, Advocate

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chabra, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 14780-14783 OF 2008

ASHOKKUMAR RAJARAM DAVE & ANR. ETC.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

GULAMSUDAM BHANDARI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers on 25th February, 2009 when His Lordship was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that he has filed an application for bringing legal heirs of the deceased respondent No. 2 on record on 30th September, 2008.

Issue notice on the said application. Dasti, in addition."

The matters were again listed before the Hon'ble Court on 29th October, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"List the matter for hearing on a non-miscellaneous day in the usual course."

It is submitted that there are six respondents in these matters. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 have been expired during the pendency of the proceedings before the High Court as per Hon'ble Chamber Judge Order dated 13.02.2012 and 15.04.2013 respectively but counsel for the petitioner has not given any intimation regarding the amendment of the cause title in the High Court so far. Respondent No. 3 is represented through Dr. Meera Agrawal, Advocate. Counter affidavit has been filed. Respondent No. 4 has been served as per dasti service. Respondent No. 5 is represented through Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate. Respondent No. 6 is represented by Mr. M. K. Dua, Advocate but no counter affidavit has been filed so far.

Service of notice is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 being expired.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Nikhi Goel, Advocate
2. Dr. Meera Agarwal, Advocate
3. Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate
4. Mr. M. K. Dua, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for recalling the Order dated 3.04.2012 and for restoration)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3279 OF 2010

MUKESH JAMNADAS SHAH & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 21st July, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Issue notice on IA No. 6.

Mr. Amar Dave, learned counsel for respondent No. 6 accepts notice. Accordingly, notice is waived. As far as other respondents are concerned, issue notice, returnable within six weeks.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the above order notice by Regd. A. D. and as well as dasti service was issued. Counsel for the appellant has filed affidavit of service with proof of service in respect of Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 and Respondent Nos. 5/1 to 5/5. However, in respect of Respondent Nos. 5/6 to 5/8 it has been stated that they are staying out of India and counsel went to their power of attorney holder at the address given for service of notice and the power of attorney holder has refused to accept the notice.

The service of notice is not complete on Respondent nos. 5/5 to 5/8.

The application above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. A. Venaygam Balan Advocate

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Advocate

Ms. Garima Prashad, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1

(Application for withdrawal of appeal)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 297 OF 2013

DINKARBHAI CHHOTUBHAI PATEL & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

DAYARAM POARSOTTAMDAS KACHWALA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Special leave petition (Civil) No. 9769 of 2011 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 10th January, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Leave granted."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Haresh Raichura, Advocate for the appellant has filed an application for withdrawal of appeal in the matter above mentioned which is registered as I.A. No. 1.

It is further submitted that all the respondents are represented through Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate.

Original record has been received.

The application in the matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Haresh Raichura, Advocate
2. Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6311-6312 OF 2013

HIRABAI SHVAYYA SWAMI

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SYED SAJID HUSSAINI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are three common respondents in both the appeals above mentioned and Respondent No. 4 in Civil Appeal No. 6312 of 2013. Service on all the Respondents is complete. Common Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed and Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocates respectively. Respondent No 4 in Civil Appeal Nos. 6312 of 2013 is represented through Mr. J. P. Dhanda, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 3 is served at the SLP stage

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has not cured the defects in the application for substitution of new trustee so far.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. C. G. Solshe, Advocate
2. Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Advocate
3. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
4. Mr. J. P. Dhanda, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6311-6312 OF 2013

HIRABAI SHVAYYA SWAMI

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SYED SAJID HUSSAINI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are three common respondents in both the appeals above mentioned and Respondent No. 4 in Civil Appeal No. 6312 of 2013. Service on all the Respondents is complete. Common Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed and Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocates respectively. Respondent No 4 in Civil Appeal Nos. 6312 of 2013 is represented through Mr. J. P. Dhanda, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 3 is served at the SLP stage

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has not cured the defects in the application for substitution of new trustee so far.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. C. G. Solshe, Advocate
2. Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Advocate
3. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
4. Mr. J. P. Dhanda, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6915-6916 OF 2008

HIRABAI SHVAYYA SWAMI

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SYED SAJID HUSSAINI SAHEB HUSEN JAGIRDAR

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned along with connected matters were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 15th May, 2014, when he has passed the following Order:

"Appellant to cure the defects in the application for substitution of New Trustee in both the matters.

In CA Nos. 6311-6312/2013, original record is also awaited form the High Court.

Send reminder to the High Court."

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in both the appeals above mentioned and Respondent No. 3 in Civil Appeal No. 6916 of 2008. Service on all the Respondens is complete. Common Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed. Common Respondent No. 2 has been deleted vide Court's Order dated 14.09.2009. Respondent No. 3 in Civil Appeal No. 6916 of 2008 is represented through Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has not cured the defects in the application for substitution of new trustee so far.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. C. G. Solshe, Advocate
2. Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Advocate
3. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2467 OF 2006

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application seeking permission to file additional facts and documents)

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

DSQ SOFTWARE LTD. & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 25th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Respondent No. 2 is present in Court in person. He has engaged learned senior counsel to represent himself.

Counter affidavit to the additional affidavit be filed within one week from today.

List on 08.09.2014.

Respondent No. 2 shall remain present in Court henceforth on all dates of hearing."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that, pursuant to the aforesaid order Mr. Brij Bhushan, Advcoate has filed vakalatnama/appearance and reply affidavit alongwith application seeking permisison to file additional facts and documents on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. The application is registered as I.A. No. 3. The documents are included in the paper books.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

The matter along with application above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 3rd day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. K. J. John & Co., Advocates
2. Mr. Surya Kant, Advocate

a

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4378 OF 2008

BAJAJ AUTO LTD. & ANR.

....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

UNIT TRUST OF INDIA & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are five respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 are represented through Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate. Respondent No. 5 is represented through Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate. Respondent No. 1 is served with notice at the SLP stage but no one has chosen to enter appearance on his behalf.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

The counsel for the appellant and respondent nos. 2 to 4 has filed statement of case but counsel for the respondent no. 5 has not filed statement of case.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office reports.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate
2. Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate
3. Mr. B. V. Desai, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 15851-15852 OF 2014
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.1-2
(Applications for permission to file additional documents)

HINDUSTAN CONSTRUCTION CO. LTD. & ANR.PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

NARMADA WATER RESOURCES & WATER SUPPLY AND
KALPSAR DEPARTMENT ETC.RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted to the information of the Hon'ble Court that the instant matters are filed by Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for the petitioner against the final Judgment and Order dated 8th January, 2014 in Special Civil Application Nos. 18052 and 18240 of 2013 passed by the High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad.

It is further submitted that Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate has filed additional documents along with the application for permission to file additional documents. The Applications are registered as I.A. Nos. 1-2 and the same are circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 14522-14523 OF 2014
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI & ANR.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

PRAKASH CO-OP. HOUSING SOCIETY LTD.

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 11th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Issue notice.

Mr. Shrish Kr. Mishra, learned counsel undertakes to accept notice on behalf of the sole respondent and seeks time to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.

Two weeks time is granted to the learned counsel for the sole respondent to file reply and vakalatnama.

List after two weeks."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order Mr. Shrish Kr. Mishra, Advcoate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of sole respondent but he has not filed counter affidavit so far.

Service of notice is complete.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Advocates
2. Mr. Shrish Kr. Mishra, Advocate

a

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2857 AND 2858 OF 2011

SH. NASHIK PANCHAVATI PANJARPOL
TRU. & ORS.

....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

CHAIRMAN, AGR. PRODU. MARKET COMMIT.
& ANR.

.....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 28th March, 2011 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

**"Leave granted.
Hearing expedited.
Interim order to continue."**

Thereafter, the I. A. No.4 (Application for Stay) in Civil Appeal No.2857 of 2011 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 16th September, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

**"I.A. No.4 is dismissed.
List the appeal for final hearing immediately
after the Constitution Bench is over"**.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2857 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Mr. R. P. Goyal and Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

Counsel for the appellant and Counsel for Respondent No.2 have filed statement of case but no statement of case has been filed by the counsel for the Respondent No.1.

It is further submitted that Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate for Respondent No. 1 has filed letter dated 11.08.2014 and thereafter in response Mr. S. C. Birla, Advocate for the appellant has on 14.08.2014 filed a letter. (Copy of the letter enclosed)

Contd...2/

:2:

CIVIL APPEAL No. 2858 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Ms. Asha G. Nair and Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the Appellant and counsel for respondent No. 2 have not filed statement of case but counsel for respondent No. 1 has filed statement of case.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Subhash C. Birla, Advocate
2. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
3. Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 882 OF 2009

SAKHARAM GANESH PUJARI

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

HUSEN ABA BAHADUR (D) BY LRS. & ANR.

.....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTION

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that LR's of deceased respondent no. 1 is represented by Mr. Balraj Dewan, Advocate and respondent no. 2 has been served with the notice of the SLP stage, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf so far.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case but counsel for the respondent no. 1 has not filed statement of case so far.

The office report for direction in the matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office reports.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Advocate
2. Mr. Balraj Dewan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 325 OF 2014

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1

(Application for directions)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for directions filed by Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7896 OF 2013

M/S. LAXMIPATI BALAJI SUGAR & DISTILLERIES
(P) LTD.

....PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

SUBAHS BHAGWAT PATIL

....RESPONDENT/ALLEGED CONTEMNOR

OFFICE REPORT

The Contempt Petition above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 1st August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Issue notice returnable in four weeks.
Dasti, in addition to the ordinary process, is
permitted."**

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order notice by Registered A.D. as well as dasti notice was issued to the sole Respondent/alleged Contemnor. Neither A.D. Card nor unserved cover has been received back so far.

It is further submitted that counsel for the petitioner has filed affidavit of dasti service on behalf of the manager of the petitioner company wherein he has stated that he visited the office of the Respondent/Contemnor to handover the notice by hand, but the same was refused. Thereafter, the said dasti notice was sent by speed post on 19.08.2014. However, he has filed only the proof of postal receipt sending the notice

Contd...2/

:2:

through speed post but proper acknowledgment has not been filed.

It is further submitted that Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate has filed application for direction alongwith application for impleadment in the Contempt Petition above mentioned which are registered as I.A. Nos. 2 and 3 respectively and the same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

The Contempt Petition and applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office reports.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Vikash Mehta, Advocate
2. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
3. Mr. M. Y. Deshmukh, Advocate
4. Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate
5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 615 OF 2010

SHAMSHODDIN MAJIDKHAN & ORS.

....APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

GULAM RASUL PAPABHAI SHAIKH & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are twelve respondents in the appeal above mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3(a) to 3(e) are represented through Mr. Shakil Ahmad Syed, Advocate. Respondent nos. 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), 4(e), 5 to 8, 11 and 12 served by the Registry at SLP stage. Respondent Nos. 4(d), 9 and 10 service is complete by dasti notice.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office reports.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. AP&J Chambers, Advocates
2. Mr. Shakil Ahmad Syed, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. 2035-2039 OF 2014
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1-5
(Application for condonation of delay in filing Review Petition)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155 AND 2156 OF 2008

THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATIO OF CITY
OF PUNE & ORS.

....PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

POONA TIMBER MERCHANTS AND SAW
MILL OWNERS ASSOCIATION

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

The Review Petitions above-mentioned have been filed by Ms. Aparna Jha, Advocate and there is delay of 145 days in filing the same. However, the counsel for the petitioner has filed application for condonation of delay in filing Review Petition.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

BRANCH OFFICER

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. 2035-2039 OF 2014

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1-5

(Application for condonation of delay in filing Review Petition)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155 AND 2156 OF 2008

THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATIO OF CITY
OF PUNE & ORS.

....PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

POONA TIMBER MERCHANTS AND SAW
MILL OWNERS ASSOCIATION

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for information of the Hon'ble Court that Ms. Aparna Jha, Advocate has filed Review Petitions to review the Order dated 07.08.2013 passed by this Hon'ble Court in the matters above mentioned and the Review Petitions are barred by time by 145 days.

It is further submitted that Ms. Aparna Jha, counsel for the petitioner has filed applications for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petitions which are registered as I.A. Nos. 1-5.

The Review Petitions above mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judges in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Aparna Jha, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6849 OF 2008

UMESHCHANDRA

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SHEEL

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th February, 2014, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"List on 20.02.2014, as a first item, to enable counsel for the parties to seek instructions."

Thereafter, the matter was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 3rd April, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"None appears.
However the dispute being a matrimonial dispute, let it be listed again after three weeks."**

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that M/s. Lawyers Knit & Co., Advocates are representing the sole respondent.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Advocate .
2. M/s. Lawyers Knit & Co. Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

A

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 17411 OF 2014

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1

(Application for exemption from filing official translation)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for permission to file additional documents)

SINDHU EDUCATION SOCIETY & ANR.

....PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

PRAMOD MOHANLAL DODEJA & ANR.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for information of the Hon'ble Court that the counsel for the petitioner has filed additional documents with an application for permission to file additional documents. The same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

It is further submitted that notice of hearing to the caveator respondent No. 1 was issued on 1st August, 2014 for listing the matter on 8.09.2014 by Registered A.D. Post but neither A.D. nor unserved cover has been received back so far.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate
2. Mr. Pramod, Caveator in person

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 20645 OF 2014
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

DEELIP

....PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

MAHARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF WAKF & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 22nd August, 2014, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner at considerable length. Issue notice returnable within two weeks. Dasti, in addition, is also permitted."

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to all the five respondents by registered A.D. Post on 26.08.2014. Neither A.D. Cards nor unserved covers containing the notice have been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1 to 5 so far.

It is further submitted that show cause notice for effecting dasti service was also handed over to the counsel for the petitioner but he has filed affidavit with proof of service.

Service of notice is complete by way of dasti service.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Shashibhushan P. Adgaonkar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 22893-22894 OF 2013
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

O.N.G.C. LTD.

...PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

PATEL MOHANLAL ISHWARBHAI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

COMMON OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 30th August, 2013, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Vakalatanama and counter affidavit may be filed within four weeks.
List thereafter.
In the meanwhile, stay granted on 23/07/2013 will continue until further orders."**

It is submitted that there are five common respondents in the instant matters. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate has entered appearance and filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate has also entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 but she has not filed counter affidavit till now.

It is further submitted that counsel for the petitioner has filed rejoinder affidavit with the permission of the Hon'ble Court. Both counter and rejoinder affidavits are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

The service of notice is complete.

Thereafter, the matters above mentioned were lastly listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 14.08.2014 when he has passed the following Order:

"Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 have already filed the counter affidavit as gets revealed from the perusal of the office report.

The office report states that as far as the respondent nos. 4 and 5 are concerned two opportunities has already been given to them for filing the counter affidavit. Therefore, no further opportunity is warranted to be given to them on that count taking into consideration the rule position. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules."

:2:

In view of the above said Order, the matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. K. R. Sasiprabhu, Advocate
2. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate
3. Ms. Hemantika Wah, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 25419, 25420 AND 25689
OF 2013

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

VAGHELA RAGHUVIR SINH

...PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

PRATAPBA WD/O ADESINH DALALBHAI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

COMMON OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 23rd August, 2013, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

SLP (C) No. 25419 of 2013

"Issue notice.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

The parties shall maintain status quo, as obtaining today, and the respondents shall not create any third party rights in regard to the suit property"

SLP (C) No. 25420 and 25689 of 2013

Issue notice.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

The parties shall maintain *status quo*, as obtaining today, and the respondents shall not create any third party rights in regard to the suit property.

Let these matters be tagged with SLP(C) No.25419 of 2013.

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to all the seven common respondents by registered A.D. Post on 17.09.2013 and 28.09.2013 respectively and as well as dasti in addition. Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of all the common respondents in all the matters but has not filed counter affidavit till now.

The service of notice is complete.

Thereafter, the matters above mentioned were lastly listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 11.08.2014 when he has passed the following Order:

:2:

"counter affidavit has not been filed by respondent nos. 1 to 7 despite final opportunity. Registry to process for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules."

In view of the above said Order, the matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 4th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Anirudh Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra – I, Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 10103 AND 15935 OF 2014
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for placing on record additional documents)

IN

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 15935 OF 2014

VARDHAMAN STANAKVASI JAIN S. SANGH

....PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

MUN. CORP. OF GR. MUMBAI & ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 10103 of 2014 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 5th May, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"List this matter in the month of July, 2014."

It is submitted that Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 15935 of 2014 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18th July, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Learned counsel for the petitioner circulated a letter stating that this special leave petition is connected with SLP(C) No. 10103/2014 which is listed on 22.08.2014 before this Hon'ble Court.

Tag along with SLP(C) No. 10103/2014."

It is further submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Ms. Liz Mathew, Advocate for the petitioner has filed an application for placing the additional documents alongwith additional documents in SLP (Civil) No. 15935 of 2014, which is registered as I.A. No. 2. The same is circulated before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 5th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. P. N. Puri, Advocate
2. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate
3. Mr. Liz Mathew, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 6993 OF 2012
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

AND
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 192 OF 2012

IN
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application on behalf of the respondent/contemnor for exemption from personal appearance)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6
(Application for proceeding ex parte against the Contemnor)

VIVEK RAI GUPTA

....PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

NIYATI GUPTA

....RESPONDENT/CONTEMNOR

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"I.A. Nos. 5/2014 has been filed by the contemnor/respondent.

The learned counsel for the contemnor/respondent will serve a copy of the I.A. No. 5 on the learned counsel for the petitioner. Post the matter alongwith I.A. No. 5/2014 on 8th September, 2014."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order the counsel for the Contemnor/Respondent has not filed fresh proof of service of the Interlocutory application No. 5 on learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is further submitted that Mr. Vikash Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner has filed application for proceeding ex-parte against the contemnor/Respondent which is registered as I.A. No. 6. The same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Service of notice is complete in SLP as well as contempt petition.

The matters along with applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate
2. Mr. Arvind Minocha, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 38043, 38075 OF 2013 AND
9099-9100 OF 2014

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

SANT DNYANESHWAR SHIKSHAN SANSTHAN & ANR.PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Learned counsel for the petitioners states that there are eight vacancies in Ashramshala in Chalisgaon area. He says that he has learnt that the teachers are willing to be absorbed in those schools.

Learned counsel for the state of Maharashtra shall take instructions as to whether there are, in fact, any vacancies so that further appropriate orders can be passed.

List the matter after two weeks."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order counsel for the Maharashtra neither has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of the state nor filed any documents in any matters so far.

SLP (Civil) Nos. 38043 and 38075 of 2013

It is submitted that Mr. Sudhanshu S. Chodhari, counsel for the petitioner has filed process fee and only one copy of the SLP No. 38043 of 2013 with the result show cause notice could not be issued to Respondent Nos. 3 and 8 in SLP (Civil) No. 38043 and 3 & 5 in SLP (Civil) No. 38075 of 2013 so far.

It is further submitted Mr. Amol B. Karande, Advocate in SLP (Civil) No. 38043 of 2013 has on 5.09.2014 filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 5 but has not filed counter affidavit.

:2:

SLP (Civil) Nos. 9099-9100 of 2014

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 06.05.2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Issue notice.
Tag with Special Leave Petitions with
Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 38043 of
2013."**

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that pursuant to the aforesaid order, counsel for the petitioner has not filed process fee and spare copies of SLP for issuance of show cause notice to Respondents with the result show cause notice could not be issued till now.

Service of notice is not complete in all the matters.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Sudhanshu S. Chodhari, Advocate
2. Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate
3. Mr. Amol B. Karande, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NO. 22170 OF 2014
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3
(Application for permission to file additional documents)

SAYAJI T. ROKADE & ORS.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI
& ORS

....RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th August, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Issue notice to respondent nos. 1 to 4 and 7.

Mr. Praveen Samdani, learned Senior counsel appearing for respondent no. 5 and Mr. Shekhar Naphade, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent no. 6 accept notice and waive formal notice.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

Liberty to file additional documents is granted.

Compilation of documents is permitted to be filed.

List the Special Leave Petition on 8.9.2014."

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 7 by registered A.D. post. M/s. J. S. Wad & Co., Advocates have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 but not filed counter affidavit so far. Neither A.D. Card nor unserved cover containing the notice has been received back in respect of respondent no. 7 so far.

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that show cause notice was also handed over to the counsel for the petitioner for effecting dasti service. The counsel for the petitioner has filed affidavit with proof of service stating therein that show cause notice has been served on respondent no. 7 but no one has entered appearance on behalf of him so far.

:2:

The service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate for the Caveator/Respondent No. 5 has filed compilation Vol. I and II and Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Advcoate for the petitioner has filed additional documents with an application for permission to file additional documents and the same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

The matter along with application above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Advocate
2. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate
3. M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Advocates
4. Mr. Praveen Samdani, Advocate
5. Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

a

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3
(Application for early hearing)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3580 OF 2006

SHRI MAHENDRA POPATLAL SHAH AND ANR.APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

M/S. JETHANAND AND SONS. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for the appellant has filed an application for early hearing. The same is registered as I.A. No. 3.

It is further submitted that the sole respondent is represented by Mr. Ashok K Mahajan, Advocate.

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

The application above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
2. Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

A

SECTION - IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6
(Application for recalling/clarifying the Order dated 24.01.2014)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 882 OF 2014

PUNE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

PADAMNAGAR CONSTRUCTIONS PVT. LTD. & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that the instant matter was dismissed by the Hon'ble Court on 24.01.2014.

It is further submitted that M/s. J. S. Wad & Co., counsel for the appellant has filed an application for recall/clarify the Order dated 24.01.2014 which is registered as I.A. No. 6 and placed before the Hon'ble Court for Order.

The application in the appeal above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. M/s. J. S. Wad & Co., Advocates
2. Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate
- 3.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

A

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4610 OF 2009

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for direction and interim Order)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4609 OF 2009

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application discharge of Advocate on record filed by Mr. Shailendra Swarup, Advocate for Respondent No. 6)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4657 OF 2009

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application discharge of Advocate on record filed by Mr. Shailendra Swarup, Advocate for Respondent No. 4)

ESSAR STEEL LTD. ETC. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE-REPORT

The I.A. No. 3 (Application for early hearing) in the Civil Appeal No. 4610 of 2009 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 3rd February, 2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"List the appeal along with connected matters
in the month of April, 2014.
I.A. for early hearing is disposed of."**

It is submitted that the service position of the appeals above mentioned is as follows:-

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4610 OF 2009

It is submitted that for information of the Hon'ble Court that there are five respondents in this appeal. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are represented by M/s. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyay, Advocate and Respondent No. 5 is represented by Mr. N. L. Ganapathi, Advocate. Respondent No. 4 is represented by M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates. Respondent No. 1 has been served with the notice at the SLP stage by way of dasti service but no one has chosen to enter appearance so far.

Contd..2/

:2:

Service is complete.

Original record has been received.

Counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case but counsel for respondents have not filed statement of case so far.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4609 OF 2009

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there are eight respondents in this appeal. Respondent No. 2 is represented by Mr. N. L. Ganapathi, Advocate, Respondent No. 3 is represented by Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 4-5 are represented by Mr. K. V. Bharathi, Advocate. Respondent No. 6 is represented by Mr. Shailendra Swarup, Advcoate and Respondent Nos. 7-8 are represented by Mr. L. R. Singh, Advocate. Respondent No. 1 has been served with notice at the SLP stage by way of dasti service but has not chosen to enter appearance so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Sahailendra Swarup, Counsel for Respondent No. 6 has filed an application seeking discharge of Advocate on record. The same is registered as I. A. No. 4 and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

Statement of Case has not been filed by both the parties so far.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4657 OF 2009

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there are seven respondents in this appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. P. V. Yogeshwaran, Advocate. Respondent No. 3 is represented through M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates. Respondent No. 4 is represented by Mr. Shailendra Swarup, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 5-6 are represented by Mr. L. R. Singh, Advcoate and Respondent No. 7 is represented by Ms. Purnima Bhat Kak, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 has been served with the notice at the SLP stage by way of dasti service but has not chosen to enter appearance so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. Sahailendra Swarup, Counsel for Respondent No. 4 has filed an application seeking discharge of Advocate on record. The same is registered as I. A. No. 3 and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

Service is complete.

Original Record has been received.

Statement of Case has not been filed by both the parties so far.

Contd...3/

:3:

The appeals above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Advocate
2. Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Advocate
3. Mr. Shailendra Swarup, Advcoate
4. Mr. L. R. Singh, Advocate
5. Ms. Purnima Bhat Kak, Advocate
6. M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates
7. Mr. N. L. Ganapathi, Advocate
8. Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyay, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7468 OF 2008

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for permission to file additional documents)

RAMESH

....APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers on 26th July, 2013 when His Lordship was pleased to pass the following Order:

"Statement of case has been filed by both sides. No further orders are required to be passed."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are seven Respondents in this appeal. The Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are represented through Mr. A. P. Mayee, Advocate, Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are represented through Mr. C.G. Solshe, Advocate. Respondent No. 6 is represented through Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari and Respondent No. 7 is represented through Mr. T. Mahipal, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Mrs. Chandan Ramamurthi, Advocate has filed an application for permission to file additional documents which is registered as I.A. No. 5. The same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

Original recrod has been received.

Counsel for the appellant as well as counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 6 have filed statement of case but counsel for Respondent No. 7 has not filed statement of case so far.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 8th day of September, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :

1. Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Advocate
2. Mr A. P. Mayee, Advocate
3. Mr. C. G. Solshe, Advocate
4. Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Advocate
5. Mr. T. Mahipal, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR