

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS
SLP(C)No.33274 of 2010

PRABHAT VIKRAM SAH
VERSUS

Petitioner(s)

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 02/03/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh,Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anant Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mrs. Swati Chandra,Adv.
Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that dasti notice through the District court could not be effected since the District Court refused to accept dasti notice to be served through the District Court. Counsel for the petitioner seeks fresh notice to be served on respondent No. 4 and 7 through the District Court directly. Office to issue notice to the concerned District Court for being served on respondent no. 4 and 7. The District Court may serve the notice personally, and if it is not possible, adopt the procedure of affixture in the last known address as per Rule. Await service report.

List the matter on 12.4.2012.

(SUNIL THOMAS)
Registrar