

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1968 OF 2007

D.N. SRIVASTAVA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAM PRAKASH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for early hearing and office report)

Date: 19/05/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
[VACATION BENCH]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kameshwar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Jatin Rajput, Adv.  
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Respondent-In-Person

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

In our opinion, the High Court order has worked itself out since the tenant has complied with the order in the subsequent proceedings and it is reported before us that the Rent Controller has passed subsequent order as per the direction of remand passed by the High Court.

In that view, we are not interfering with the judgment of the High Court. However, the parties are free to take such steps as they are advised in law if they feel aggrieved by any further proceedings.

The appeal is dismissed.

( Ravi P. Verma )  
Court Master

( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1968 OF 2007

D.N. SRIVASTAVA

.....APPELLANT(S)

Versus

RAM PRAKASH

.....RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

In our opinion, the High Court order has worked itself out since the tenant has complied with the order in the subsequent proceedings

and it is reported before us that the Rent Controller has passed subsequent order as per the direction of remand passed by the High Court.

2. In that view, we are not interfering with the judgment of the High Court. However, the parties are free to take such steps as they are advised in law if they feel aggrieved by any further proceedings.

3. The appeal is dismissed.

.....J.  
( V.S. SIRPURKAR )

New Delhi;  
May 19, 2009.

.....J.  
( R.M. LODHA )