

L

Cr1.A.No. 1150-1151 OF 2001
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
ITEM No.101 Court No. 9 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.1150-1151 OF 2001@@
CC

CHITTARMAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent (s)

(With Office Report)

With
Cr1. A. Nos.42-43 of 2002 (with office report)

Date : 29/10/2002 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

For Appellant (s) Mr. M.L. Lahoty, Adv.
in Cr1.A.1150-51/01 Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Doshi, Adv.
Mr. L.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Mundra, Addv.
Mr. Pawan Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

in Cr1.A.42-43/02 Ms. Kumud Lata Das, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
in Cr1.A.1150-51/01 Ms. Sandhya Goswami, Adv.

in Cr1.A.42-43/02 Ms. Bharathi Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Mr. M.L. Lahoti, learned counsel started his arguments at 10.35 a.m. and concluded at 2.15 p.m. Thereafter Ms. Kumud Lata Das, learned counsel argued for about five minutes. After that Ms. Bharathi Upadhyay, learned counsel started her arguments at 2.20 p.m. and concluded at 3.00 p.m. Hearing concluded. The Court reserved its judgment.

.SP1

(Pawan Kumar)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master