

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F      I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 414 OF 2006

INDRAPAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for condonation of delay in filing crl. Appeal  
and office report)

Date: 01/09/2011      This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Appellant(s)      Mr.      Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.  
                                 Mr.      B.S. Billowria, Adv.  
                                 Mr.      Abhishek Garg, Adv.  
                                 Ms.      Ritu Puri, Adv.  
                                 Mr.      M. Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)      Mr.      Ratnakar Dash, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr.      Rajeev Dubey, Adv.  
                                 Mr.      Kamendra Mishra, Adv.  
                                 Mr.      Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned in filing the criminal appeal.

The Criminal              Appeal      is      dismissed      in      terms      of      the  
signed order.

( Rajesh Dham )  
Court Master

( Indu Satija )  
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 414 OF 2006

INDRAPAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

O   R   D   E   R

Delay condoned in filing the criminal appeal.

We have heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant at great length.

This Criminal Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment dated 11.11.2005 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Government Appeal No. 2601 of 2002 holding the accused-respondent Indrapal (appellant before us) guilty of the offence punishable under Section 302 Indian Penal Code, while reversing the judgment of acquittal passed by the trial court.

We have carefully gone through the impugned and perused the record of the case. We are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and hence it does not call for our interference. We order accordingly.

:1:

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 414 OF 2006

Having no merit the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

By order dated 10.07.2006 this Court had granted bail to the appellant. Since we have dismissed the Criminal Appeal filed by the appellant, his bail bonds are cancelled. The appellant shall be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining period of sentence.

.....J.  
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 01, 2011

.....J.  
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)