

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1616-1618 OF 2004

CHAIRMAN, TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T. SUBRAMANIAN

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 15/11/2011 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.R. Kovilan Poongkuntran, Adv.  
Mr. B. Balaji,  
Mr. Anbalagan, Adv.  
Mr. M.L. Plato Aristatil, Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed  
order.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)  
Court Master

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)  
Court Master

(The signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1616-1618 OF 2004

CHAIRMAN, TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T. SUBRAMANIAN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Chairman, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board and its officers  
are in appeals before this Court against the orders dated  
11.8.2000 passed in C.R.P. No. 1648 and 1649 of 2000 and C.M.P.  
Nos. 8884 & 8885/2000 by Division Bench as also the order of  
review passed in Review Application No. 99/2000.

It is not disputed before us that respondent-plaintiff has filed O.S. No. 8/97, pending in the Court of VIIth Asst. Judge, Chennai wherein the respondent's challenge is to the show cause notice issued to him by the appellant Board.

Order sheets of these appeals show that even though there was no stay of the proceedings before the Civil Court but the learned Trial Judge has not proceeded with the suit as the matter had been pending in this Court.

In this view of the matter, we direct the VIIth Asst. Judge, Chennai to consider and dispose of O.S. No. 8/97 filed by respondent against the appellants at an early date on merits, in accordance with law, and preferably within a period of nine

-2-

months from the date of framing of issues (if not already framed). It is further clarified that none of the parties would seek undue adjournment in the matter and would co-operate with the Court to see to it that final judgment is pronounced within the time stipulated herein. It is further clarified that the question with regard to refund/resumption of electricity of the respondent would also be considered by the learned Trial Court.

With the aforesaid directions, these appeals stand finally disposed of.

.....J.  
(DEEPAK VERMA)

.....J.  
(K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN)